



SACHI A. HAMAI
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 383
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
(213) 974-1411 • FAX (213) 620-0636


MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

GLORIA MOLINA
MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS
ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
DON KNABE
MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

February 16, 2011

TO: William T Fujioka
Chief Executive Officer

Mark Delgado, Executive Director
Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee

FROM: Sachi A. Hamai 
Executive Officer

SUBJECT: ISSUES RELATED TO PROGRAMS UNDER PROPOSED
STATE BUDGET REALIGNMENT

At the Board of Supervisors' meeting held February 15, 2011, the Board discussed Agenda Item No. S-1 relating to the proposed State Budget realignment impacting Health and Human Services and Public Safety. During the discussion, Supervisor Antonovich requested you and the Public Safety Realignment Team to report back at the February 22, 2011 Board meeting on the following:

- An assessment of each of the programs in the realignment proposal with the report to include an explanation by program, and why it should or should not be shifted to the County;
- The potential savings to the Department of Children and Family Services' budget if the Department contracted out in-house programs right now, such as back-end case management services for family reunification and permanency and self-sufficiency; and
- The current administrative cap for managed care programs.

Enclosed is a copy of the transcript to assist you in preparing your report.

SAH:ag

Enclosure

c: Each Supervisor
Sheriff
District Attorney
Alternate Public Defender
Chief Probation Officer
Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court
Public Defender



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND CHALLENGING FINANCING MARKET TO ENABLE US TO REACH THIS
2 IMPORTANT DAY FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND FOR THE FUTURE
3 OF MARINA DEL REY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANY QUESTIONS? MOTION BY SUPERVISOR
6 KNABE. SECOND BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION SO
7 ORDERED. THANK YOU. ITEM NO. 55, 56 AND 57. MOTION BY
8 SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS. SECONDED TO CONTINUE THIS ITEM
9 FOR ONE WEEK. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. CONTINUE THE ITEM
10 FOR ONE WEEK. **ON ITEM S-1**, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF SPEAKERS.
11 DOCTOR CLAVREUL, FLOYD HAYHURST, AMY KIM, DIANE BOUDREAUX. WHO
12 WAS GOING GIVE THE PRESENTATION? THERE ARE THREE COMPONENTS TO
13 S-1, ONE DEALING WITH HEALTH, ALSO DEALING WITH CHILDREN'S
14 SERVICES, AND WITH PUBLIC SAFETY. WE COULD TAKE THE PUBLIC
15 SAFETY, THE FIRST PART.

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAVE OUR SHERIFF PLUS I KNOW WE HAVE OTHER
18 STAFF FROM PROBATION. AND I BELIEVE MARK DELGADO IS IN THE
19 BACK FROM C.C.C.J.C., WHO WILL BE SPEAKING ON THIS ITEM. AND
20 MY STAFF WILL ALSO ADD COMMENTS TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY SIDE.

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE WILL CALL YOU BACK. THEY'LL DO THE
23 PRESENTATION FIRST AND THEN WE'LL CALL YOU BACK. GOOD MORNING.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** YES, GOOD MORNING. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
2 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR CALLING THIS MEETING. AS YOU KNOW, I
3 ALSO SERVE AS THE VICE CHAIR OF THE C.C.C.J.C. COMMITTEE. AND
4 I WANT TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE C.E.O.'S LATEST UPDATE
5 THROUGH THE C.C.C.J.C. MARK DELGADO PREPARED A DOCUMENT AT
6 YOUR REQUEST. OUR LAST MEETING THAT YOU CHAIRED, MR.
7 ANTONOVICH, WAS A VERY PRODUCTIVE MEETING IN TERMS OF THE
8 SCOPE OF THE PROJECT OF STUDYING WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE. AND
9 I'M PLEASED THAT ALL OF US HAVE BEEN WEIGHING IN ON WHAT IS
10 POSSIBLE, WHAT IS NOT POSSIBLE AND WHAT ARE THE OBSTACLES AND
11 WHAT ARE THE ISSUES AND THE WEAKNESSES AND THE CONDITIONS THAT
12 WE ALL NEED TO COME TOGETHER WITH. JUST AS A DEPARTMENT HEAD,
13 I'M STILL LEARNING MORE ABOUT WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE AS OPPOSED
14 TO SAYING TO YOU WE HAVE SOMETHING THAT'S A FINAL PRODUCT
15 THAT'S GOING TO MEET YOUR APPROVAL. I DON'T THINK ANYTHING AT
16 THIS POINT MEETS ANY ONE OF OUR PARTICULAR APPROVAL. BUT THE
17 IMPORTANT THING IS THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS THAT HAVE SOME
18 POSSIBILITY. AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE REPORT THAT MARK DELGADO
19 PREPARED, THERE ARE SOME OVERALL PRINCIPLES THAT WE AGREED TO.
20 AND ONE IS FUNDING, THAT WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT'S DONE
21 PROPERLY. THE C.E.O.'S BEEN TALKING ABOUT THAT REPEATEDLY TO
22 US. SECOND IS THE PUBLIC SAFETY RE-ALIGNMENT TEAM SUPPORTS THE
23 GUIDING PRINCIPLES THAT THE C.E.O. HAS PUT FORTH FOR US.
24 THIRD, WHEN POSSIBLE, REALIGNED PROGRAMS SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED
25 ON A CONTRACT BASIS. THIS WAS SOMETHING AT OUR LAST MEETING



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THAT I BROUGHT UP. AND I FEEL THAT THIS INDEMNIFIES US FROM
2 GOING OVER NIAGARA FALLS. IF THIS ISN'T A POSSIBLE,
3 FINANCIALLY SECURE PLAN. SO WE CAN COME IN AND OUT OF THIS AS
4 WE GO ALONG. I DO BELIEVE UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCE, THE COUNTY
5 SHOULD NOT JUMP IN 100 PERCENT AND SAY ALL OF A SUDDEN WE'RE
6 READY. I THINK THE CONTRACTING CAPABILITY IS WHAT WE'RE MOST
7 FAMILIAR WITH IN OUR COUNTY. AND IT SEEMS TO GIVE US
8 PROVISIONAL ESCAPE, AS THEY SAY. ANY RE-ALIGNMENT ENACTED
9 SHOULD BE PHASED IN TO ALLOW NECESSARY PLANNING AND STARTUP
10 AND THE CAPACITY INCREASES GIVEN THE COMPLEX AND SERIOUS
11 ISSUES OF CONCERN. I MEAN, THIS IS THE FOURTH POINT OF THIS
12 REPORT. LIABILITY PROTECTION FOR ANY REALIGNED PROGRAMS SHOULD
13 BE BUILT IN, AND LIABILITY COSTS SHOULD BE SHARED BY THE
14 STATE. AND THIS IS A STATE PROGRAM. MANAGED BY THE COUNTIES,
15 IF THAT'S WHAT THIS ENDS UP. BUT THE STATE CANNOT ABDICATE
16 ITSELF ENTIRELY FROM ITS RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF
17 SERIOUS STATE FELONS. THAT'S WHAT THEIR STATUS IS. YOU'RE
18 NEVER GOING TO MITIGATE THAT STATUS UNLESS YOU OVERHAUL THE
19 ENTIRE PENAL CODE SYSTEM AND OVERHAUL THE ENTIRE JUSTICE
20 SYSTEM. YOU GET DOWN TO IT, THE NATURE OF THE PAROLE VIOLATORS
21 -- AND WE'LL DEAL WITH THE ONE THAT I'M MOST, PERHAPS, OPPOSED
22 TO, AND THAT IS THE IDEA OF ALL THE THREE NON, NON, NON-
23 CATEGORIES ALL OF A SUDDEN BECOMING PART OF THE INCARCERATION
24 PROCESS OF A LOCAL COUNTY JAIL. I MEAN THIS IS NOT, IN MY
25 OPINION, A WISE POLICY, AND I'VE OPPOSED IT LONG BEFORE IT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 POPPED OUT IN THIS FASHION WITH REALIGNMENT. I'VE OPPOSED IT
2 REPEATEDLY UNDER THE A.B.900 MONEY, WHERE THE STATE SOMEHOW
3 BELIEVES THAT IF YOU SEND SOMEONE TO A LOCAL COUNTY JAIL, SIX
4 MONTHS BEFORE THEY FINISHED THEIR OBLIGATORY STATE PRISON
5 TERM, THAT YOU'VE GOT SIX MONTHS TO CLEAN THEM UP AND SEND
6 THEM OUT AND THAT SOMEHOW THEY ARE GOING TO BE REHABILITATED.
7 I MEAN, I'M PUSHING HARD ON EDUCATION-BASED INCARCERATION IN
8 OUR JAIL. THAT EVERY INMATE SHOULD HAVE AN EDUCATIONAL PLAN AS
9 MUCH AS THEY HAVE A PUNITIVE PLAN. AND THAT IF THE EDUCATION
10 STARTS HERE AND GOES UP TO THE STATE LEVEL AND IT CONTINUES
11 THERE AND THEN IT COMES BACK, THAT YOU WON'T NEED TO SPEND
12 COSTLY LOCAL DOLLARS ON A REENTRY PLAN THAT INVOLVES LOCAL
13 INCARCERATION FOR THE LAST SIX MONTHS OF THE STATE SYSTEM'S
14 PENALTY. AND SO REHABILITATION BEGINS WHEN THEY ENTER, NOT
15 WHEN THEY COME OUT. AND SO THAT'S A BIG GAP IN THE STRATEGY AS
16 I SEE IT. AND THERE'S NO EVIDENCE THAT SUGGESTS THAT THE LAST
17 SIX MONTHS IN THE LOCAL COUNTY JAIL IS GOING TO SERVE A
18 PROVABLE TURNAROUND FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE INCARCERATED IN THE
19 STATE PRISON SYSTEM. SO THAT'S MY BIGGEST ISSUE. BUT WHEN YOU
20 GET DOWN TO THE ACTUAL PAROLE MANAGEMENT, LET'S STEP BACK.
21 WHETHER IT'S THE COUNTY OR THE STATE, THEY'RE ALL COMING BACK.
22 LET'S BE REALLY CLEAR. THE CRIMINALS THAT ORIGINATED FROM L.A.
23 COUNTY ARE COMING BACK TO L.A. COUNTY. THE QUESTION THAT I
24 THINK THE BOARD HAS TO ASK: DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE STATE
25 RESOURCES IN MANAGING THIS POPULATION ARE MORE EFFECTIVE AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 STRONGER THAN LOCAL RESOURCES? NOW, I SEE THIS AS A QUESTION
2 THAT CAN BE ANSWERED EITHER WAY. BUT THE WAY THAT I'M
3 ANSWERING IT IS THAT IF POLICE HAVE G.P.S. CAPABILITY IN THEIR
4 RADIO CARS, THEY'LL KNOW WHERE ALL THE PAROLEES ARE COUNTY-
5 WIDE AND THEY'LL BE PART OF THE MONITORING OF ALL PAROLEES
6 COUNTYWIDE. IF THE POLICE HAVE A MAPPING SYSTEM, THEY'LL
7 FURTHER HAVE THE CAPACITY TO ANALYZE THE CRIME PATTERNS
8 AGAINST THE PAROLEE POPULATION. AND IN EFFECT THERE WOULD BE A
9 BLANKETING OF 24/7 MONITORING CAPABILITIES THROUGHOUT THE
10 ENTIRE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. WE'VE NEVER DONE THIS. AND SO
11 THAT'S THE AREA THAT I THINK WE OUGHT TO TAKE A LITTLE MORE
12 TIME AND STUDY. NOW, I HAVE MY DIVISION CHIEFS WORKING ON
13 THIS. THESE POOR GUYS ARE DOING IT SEVEN DAYS A WEEK. I HAVE A
14 PRELIMINARY STRUCTURAL REPORT AS TO HOW WE WOULD MANAGE
15 PAROLEES. AND WE CAN DO IT PROGRESSIVELY IN A COOPERATIVE
16 MANNER WITH EXISTING PAROLE RESOURCES AS THIS SLIDING SCALE OF
17 THREE TO FOUR YEARS EVOLVES. SO WE'RE NOT JUST WHOLESALING ALL
18 OF A SUDDEN IN ONE NEW BUSINESS FROM GROUND ZERO RIGHT TO 100
19 PERCENT FUNCTIONALITY, THAT WE'RE MOVING IN A FIVE TO TEN
20 PERCENT FUNCTIONALITY IN PERHAPS THE FIRST YEAR AND THEN THE
21 NEXT YEAR WE MOVE IT UP BASED ON PERFORMANCE TO ANOTHER
22 FUNCTIONALITY PERCENT. AND THEN BEFORE YOU KNOW IT, WE'RE UP
23 AT PERHAPS EVEN 50 PERCENT. AND WE HAVE TO HAVE A CLEAR
24 UNDERSTANDING THAT MAGICALLY YOU CAN NEVER TAKE OVER A NEW
25 FUNCTION WITH NEW TECHNOLOGY AND NEW RESOURCES AND SHARED



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 RESOURCES AND SAY IT'S JUST GOING TO BE A THREE-YEAR WINDOW.
2 WE HAVE TO HAVE AN OUTSIDE CAPABILITY OF SAYING WELL MAYBE
3 IT'S GOING TO TAKE US FOUR YEARS TO DO THIS OR FIVE YEARS TO
4 DO THIS. BUT NONETHELESS, A PLAN THAT'S PROGRESSIVE BASED ON
5 SUCCESSFUL INCREMENTS ALLOWS YOUR BOARD -- ALLOWS YOU AS A
6 BOARD TO SAY LISTEN, WE'RE AT LEAST DOING THIS SENSIBLY. WE'RE
7 NOT BUYING THE NOTION THAT IT CAN ALL BE DONE IN THREE YEARS
8 OR LESS.

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** CHAIR, UNFORTUNATELY THAT'S NOT THE RECOMMENDATION
11 FROM THE GOVERNOR AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT. I AGREE WITH YOU,
12 BUT IT'S ALMOST OVERNIGHT.

13

14 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** THAT'S NOT WHAT THEY'RE RECOMMENDING. BUT I
15 WAS UP WITH THE C.E.O. ALONG WITH DON AND A FEW OTHERS,
16 DEPARTMENT HEADS, NEGOTIATING WITH THE FINANCE DIRECTOR THAT
17 YOU CANNOT IMPOSE A FINANCIAL PACKAGE ON US, THAT YOU CANNOT
18 IMPOSE EVEN AN IMPLEMENTATION TIMETABLE ON US, THAT WE ARE
19 FUNCTIONALLY THE EQUIVALENT OF ANOTHER STATE. THE PLUSES OF
20 BEING FUNCTIONALLY EQUIVALENT TO ANOTHER STATE IS WHY I THINK
21 THEY'RE LISTENING TO US. BECAUSE YOUR COLLEAGUES AND YOU HAVE
22 BEEN ABLE TO KEEP THIS COUNTY'S FINANCES IN A VERY ENVIABLE
23 STATE RELATIVE TO NOT GOING DOWN AND UNDER AND SPENDING MONEY
24 TO THE POINT WHERE YOU'RE AT THE LIMIT WHERE YOU CAN'T GO ANY
25 FURTHER WITH ANY FORMS OF BAILOUT, THE REVENUES THAT THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COUNTY TAXPAYERS ARE PAYING TO THE COUNTY ARE UNDERPERFORMING.
2 SO THE RESPECT THAT THIS COUNTY HAS IN SACRAMENTO IS
3 EXTRAORDINARILY HIGH. AND THE GOVERNOR, SINCE I HAVE A
4 CRITICAL ROLE TO PLAY IN ADVISING THE BOARD, IS VERY, VERY
5 MUCH ATTUNED TO WANTING TO LISTEN TO THE OPERATIONAL ELEMENTS
6 OF WHAT IS THE CHALLENGES. AND I DON'T THINK THEY, IN TURN,
7 ARE JUST SHOVING THIS DOWN OUR THROAT. I BELIEVE THAT THE
8 CONCURRENT PLANNING PROCESSES THAT ARE GOING ON NOW UNDER THE
9 SUPERVISION OF THE C.E.O., THAT I'LL BE ABLE TO GIVE YOU A
10 MORE COMPREHENSIVE RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN ON THIS PROJECT WITH
11 PAROLE, BUT I ALSO SEE AN OPPORTUNITY. AND THAT IS, PAROLING
12 IN L.A. COUNTY IS VERY COMPLEX. BUT WE'RE OPERATING IN A MODEL
13 T MANNER. WE DON'T HAVE CONNECTIVITY WITH POLICE RIGHT NOW ON
14 A WIDESPREAD 100 PERCENT PAROLE BASIS. WE JUST TAKE THE ONES
15 THAT ARE THE MOST PROBLEMATIC AND DEAL IN A SHARED TASKFORCE
16 ENVIRONMENT. AND WE CHASE THEM DOWN AND SERVE SEARCH WARRANTS
17 AND THIS AND THAT WITH OUR PAROLE COLLEAGUES. BUT THAT'S THE
18 WORST OF THE WORST. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE OVERALL. THAT HOW DO
19 WE LEVERAGE OURSELVES TECHNICALLY? HOW DO WE USE G.P.S. FOR
20 PEOPLE WHO NEED TO BE MONITORED EVEN WHEN THEY COME OUT ON
21 PAROLE BASIS AN AS NON, NON, NON? THESE PEOPLE ARE STILL
22 HAVING TO PAY A DEBT TO SOCIETY THAT THEY STILL ARE, QUOTE,
23 UNDER DETENTION AUTHORITY. SO IN THE CONTEXT OF MY COMMENTS, I
24 ALSO REVIEWED THE COURT SECURITY PLAN WITHIN THAT RE-ALIGNMENT
25 PROPOSAL. AND THOSE DOLLARS APPEAR TO BE EQUAL TO WHAT THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 DOLLARS ARE TODAY. AND THEREFORE I KNOW YOU'VE BEEN GETTING
2 PERHAPS SOME CALLS, IF NOT, YOU WILL, FROM THE COURT OFFICIALS
3 AS TO HOW SOME OF THAT MONEY WOULD BE MANAGED IF IT CAME
4 LOCALLY. AND PERHAPS THEY EVEN WANT TO MANAGE IT THEMSELVES,
5 BUT I DON'T RECOMMEND THAT. I RECOMMEND THAT THAT BE MANAGED
6 BY YOU. AND AT THE SAME TIME, WE HAVE SUCH A SMOOTH OPERATION
7 IN THE COURTS THAT THEIR COURT SECURITY OFFICERS THAT THEY
8 HIRE OUT OF MONEY THAT PERHAPS COULD HAVE COME TO THE
9 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, THAT WE WOULD CONTINUE TO PROVIDE THOSE
10 SERVICES. THE FUNDING WILL BE THERE. AND THAT WE'RE NOT
11 LOOKING TO WEAKEN THEIR CAPACITY AS A JUDICIAL BRANCH OF
12 GOVERNMENT BUT TO SUSTAIN THE ONGOING SECURITY NEEDS THAT ARE
13 CURRENTLY BEING PAID FOR. AND THE MONEY AS WE LOOKED FOR IT.
14 CHIEF BARRANTES IS HERE, HE'S IN THE AUDIENCE. HE ANALYZED IT
15 WITH HIS STAFF AND EVEN THE COURT OFFICIALS AGREE THAT THERE'S
16 NO GAMES WITH THAT MONEY. SO THE ESSENCE OF THE STUDY IS THAT
17 WE'RE NOT READY TO TELL YOU EXACTLY THAT EVERYTHING THAT I'M
18 DISCUSSING: PAROLE, COURT SECURITY. I'M SAYING EMPHATICALLY I
19 DON'T AGREE WITH STATE-SENTENCED CONVICTS IN OUR SUPERIOR
20 COURT COMING TO THE COUNTY JAIL BECAUSE THEY'RE A NON, NON,
21 NON FOR A COUPLE YEARS. WE JUST DON'T HAVE THE CAPACITY FOR
22 IT. I DON'T THINK IT'S GOOD BUSINESS. I DON'T EVEN THINK IT'S
23 GOOD CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROCESS.

24



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. YOU'RE RIGHT ON. AS YOU
2 REMEMBER, THE MOTION STATED SPECIFICALLY AT 8.1 AN EXPLANATION
3 BY PROGRAM WHY IT SHOULD OR SHOULD NOT BE SHIFTED TO THE
4 COUNTY. AND PERHAPS WE CAN HAVE THAT FOR NEXT WEEK. WE'LL
5 SCHEDULE THAT ITEM.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IF I CAN ADD A COUPLE OF COMMENTS ON THAT ONE
8 NOTE, MR. MAYOR?

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SURE.

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THERE ARE SEVERAL PROPOSALS RIGHT NOW UNDER
13 PUBLIC SAFETY. ONE IS THE SHIFT OF LOW LEVEL OFFENDERS, THE
14 SECOND IS ADULT PAROLE, THIRD IS JUVENILE JUSTICE PROGRAM, THE
15 FOURTH IS CORPORATE SECURITY, THE FIFTH IS A VEHICLE LICENSE
16 FEE FROM LOCAL PUBLIC SAFETY PROGRAMS AND THE LAST IS CAL
17 FIRE. OF THESE PROPOSALS, WE FEEL THAT THE SHIFT OF LOW-LEVEL
18 OFFENDERS COULD BE REALIGNED WITH CERTAIN CONDITIONS AND THE
19 CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN MOSTLY BEEN DELINEATED BY OUR SHERIFF.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** CAN I JUST STOP YOU ON THAT POINT? AND I
22 WANT TO UNDERSTAND. ISN'T THAT WHAT YOU WERE JUST TALKING
23 ABOUT, THAT YOU DIDN'T HAVE THE CAPACITY TO DO? OR AM I
24 CONFUSED?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** THAT IS THE ONE THAT I AM TALKING ABOUT.

2

3 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** HE'S SAYING NO.

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** HE'S SAYING NO, AND HE'S SAYING WE CAN DO IT.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I WAS GOING TO GIVE THE CONDITIONS.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** OKAY, FAIR ENOUGH.

10

11 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** BUT WHAT I ALSO PROVISIONALLY AM SAYING TO
12 YOU IS THAT I CAN'T STAND FIRM ON WHAT IS NOT STUDIED. AND I'M
13 IN THE SAME POSITION IN A WAY THAT YOU'RE IN. THAT I HAVE TO
14 HEAR BACK FROM OTHERS THAT SAYS EXACTLY WHAT MR. FUJIOKA IS
15 SAYING. AND I HAVE TO HEAR THE PROS AND CONS OF THE ISSUE. AND
16 I HAVEN'T HAD MY HANDS ON THAT AT THIS POINT. BUT I TRUST THAT
17 IF MR. FUJIOKA IS SAYING SOMETHING, HE HAS A GOOD PLAN FOR IT.
18 BUT WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO IS BE AS CAUTIOUS AS YOU ARE OR
19 ANYONE ELSE ON THIS PROCESS AND SAY TO YOU THAT LET'S NOT
20 THROW THE WHOLE PROJECT OUT ON THE STREET AND SAY NONE OF IT'S
21 POSSIBLE. I'M TRYING TO GIVE YOU MY BEST OPINION AT THIS
22 MOMENT. WE ARE RUSHING RATHER QUICKLY BY TRYING TO COVER
23 EVERYTHING EVEN AT THIS MEETING. WE HAVE TO HAVE A LOT MORE
24 PREPARATION WITH ALL OF THE ELEMENTS THAT ARE OF CONCERN TO
25 EACH OF US HERE.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BUT YOU DON'T BELIEVE YOU HAVE THE CAPACITY
3 TO HANDLE THE SO-CALLED NON, NON, NONS, RIGHT? ISN'T THAT WHAT
4 YOU JUST SAID?

5

6 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** NOT IF I LOOK AT THE AMOUNT. WE'RE ABOUT 30
7 PERCENT OR MORE OF THE ENTIRE STATE PAROLE PROBLEM. AND WE'RE
8 ABOUT 30 PERCENT OF THE ENTIRE STATE INCARCERATION PROBLEM.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** PROBABLY CLOSER TO 40 PERCENT.

11

12 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** AND SO WHEN YOU START LOOKING AT THE SLIDING
13 SCALE OF THE LOW OFFENDERS IN THE STATE PRISON SYSTEM, I'M NOT
14 SO SURE I CAN HANDLE THAT CAPACITY. IF THEY SAY WE'VE GOT 3 OR
15 4,000 ELIGIBLE PEOPLE FOR BEING SENT FROM STATE TO LOCAL, AND
16 BEING BY PASSED, THE STATES ARE GOING TO BYPASS THIS AND GIVE
17 IT TO US, WE'RE BASICALLY FUNCTIONING AS A STATE PRISON. AND
18 PREDICTABLY, WE COULD BE IN THE SAME BOAT THAT THEY ARE.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WILL BE, NOT COULD BE. BECAUSE YOU'RE
21 ALREADY IN THE SAME BOAT. YOU HAD 4500 BEDS YOU LOST.

22

23 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** RIGHT. AND SO THERE'S MORE DEBATE ON THIS.
24 AND I'M RIGHT NOW ON THE MORE CONSERVATIVE SIDE OF IT. BUT I'M



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PLEADING MORE FOR TIME TO ANSWER THIS IN A COMPREHENSIVE WAY
2 THAT'S MORE CREDIBLE THAN JUST MY OPINION.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THIS IS THE REAL PROBLEM. AND I
5 CONSIDER THIS REALLY A TROJAN HORSE. WHAT YOU HAVE IS THE
6 STATE IS GOING TO OVERLOAD LOCAL GOVERNMENT, THE COUNTIES, OUR
7 CITIES, WITH A FLOOD OF MANDATES AND IMPOSSIBLE FUNDING
8 OBLIGATIONS THAT WE WILL BE BEHOLDEN TO. BUT MOSTLY, I SHOULD
9 SAY ANOTHER COMPONENT, IS LIABILITY ISSUES. AND I'VE ASKED OUR
10 COUNTY COUNSEL HOW MANY LAWYERS ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE TO HIRE
11 BECAUSE OF THE LIABILITY CASES THAT ARE GOING TO RESULT WITH
12 THE COUNTY BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL OF THE PEOPLE BEING
13 SHIFTED, ADULTS AND JUVENILES AND THE SOCIAL SERVICES PROGRAMS
14 THAT THE STATE IS NOW LIABLE FOR THAT WE WILL ASSUME LIABILITY
15 AND IT WILL BE OUR ATTORNEYS IN THE COURTROOM, NOT THE STATE
16 ATTORNEYS, BECAUSE THESE ARE NOW LOCAL PROGRAMS BEING SHIFTED
17 TO THE COUNTY. EVEN FEDERAL PROGRAMS TO THE STATE, THOSE
18 PROGRAMS ARE BEING SHIFTED TO THE COUNTY TO ABSORB, AS WELL.
19 SO IT'S PUSHING US INTO A CRISIS. AND THAT COULD LEAD TO
20 ECONOMIC COLLAPSE.

21

22 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** BUT THERE ARE ANSWERS, MR. ANTONOVICH. THERE
23 ARE ANSWERS. WE USE THE LIABILITY TRUST FUND SYSTEM NOW WITH
24 CONTRACTS THAT WE HAVE.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT.

2

3 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** AND IF THE STATE WERE TO MODEL INTO OUR
4 CONTRACTING TRANSITION AGREEMENTS --

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND THE CITIES ARE SAYING WE CAN'T
7 AFFORD ALL THESE LIABILITIES. SO WE HAD NEGOTIATIONS WHICH YOU
8 WERE INVOLVED WITH, COUNTY COUNSEL WERE INVOLVED IN, THE BOARD
9 WAS INVOLVED WITH. BUT THAT'S THE TIP OF THE ICEBERG WHEN
10 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL THE SEX OFFENDERS
11 AND ALL OF THE OTHER INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE BEING RELEASED. THE
12 KIDS FROM DEPARTMENT OF, WHAT IS IT D.J.J., THE C.Y.A., FORMER
13 C.Y.A. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT KIDS THAT HAVE MURDERED TWO OR
14 THREE PEOPLE AT THE AGE 13. THEN WE'RE GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE
15 FOR THE LIABILITY THAT THEY MAY INCUR WHEN THEY GET OUT. IT'S
16 NOT THE STATE, IT'S THE COUNTY. IT'S NOT JUST L.A. COUNTY BUT
17 IT'S ALL 58 COUNTIES IN EACH OF THEIR RESPECTIVE
18 JURISDICTIONS.

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WITH RESPECT TO THE D.J.J. PROGRAM, WE HAVE
21 TAKEN THE POSITION THAT THAT PROGRAM, AND NOW I'M GOING TO
22 DISAGREE WITH OUR SHERIFF BECAUSE I'M ALSO CONCERNED ABOUT
23 COURT SECURITY. AND THEN CAL FIRE. THOSE PROGRAMS WOULD NOT BE
24 SUITABLE FOR RE-ALIGNMENT. THE D.J.J. POPULATION IS A VERY,
25 VERY DIFFICULT POPULATION. AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 NEITHER THE FACILITIES NOR THE STAFF TO HANDLE THAT
2 POPULATION. BUT GETTING BACK TO THE SHIFT TO LOW-END
3 OFFENDERS, BECAUSE ALTHOUGH IT SOUNDS LIKE WE'RE NOT ON THE
4 SAME PAGE, THE SHERIFF AND I ARE ON THE SAME PAGE, WE'RE
5 SAYING YES, BUT THE CONDITIONS WOULD BE THAT WE EXPAND
6 ELECTRONIC MONITORING PROGRAM THAT GIVES US THE AUTHORITY TO
7 USE THE MONITORING AND G.P.S. TO TRACK SOME OF THE PRISONERS,
8 TO REDUCE THAT POPULATION. THAT WHAT WE DO IS WE FOLLOW THE
9 LEAD OF OUR DISTRICT ATTORNEY. AND WE AMEND THE DEFINITION OF
10 LOW-LEVEL OFFENDERS TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN SERIOUS OFFENSES. WE
11 HAVE THE ABILITY TO ACCESS THE A.B.900 FUNDS FOR JAIL
12 CONSTRUCTION.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** BUT WE STILL HAVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR
15 LIABILITY IF THOSE INDIVIDUALS CUT THEIR ELECTRONIC DEVICE AND
16 ESCAPE AND COMMIT ANOTHER CRIME, AS ONE HAD DONE JUST TWO
17 WEEKS AGO WHO WAS UNDER STATE JURISDICTION.

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE WOULD BE ASSUMING ALL OF THOSE
22 COSTS. HOW MANY YEARS DO YOU GO IN LITIGATION ON THESE CASES?
23 LOOK AT JUST SOME OF THE VERY MUNDANE CASES THAT WE HAVE
24 BEFORE US THAT ARE LOCAL THAT SPENT YEARS AND YEARS AND
25 ATTORNEY FEES AND ATTORNEY FEES ON, NOW WE'RE GOING TO ASSUME



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ALL OF THIS? OUR COUNTY COUNSEL DOESN'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO GO
2 OUT AND HAVE MASS HIRINGS OF ALL THESE ATTORNEYS. IT'S GOING
3 TO HAVE AN ECONOMIC NUCLEAR BOMBSHELL ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS'
4 LEGAL DEPARTMENT. BUT IT'S ACTUALLY THE TAXPAYERS WHO HAVE TO
5 PAY FOR THESE LAWYERS AND THESE LAWSUITS. THE STATE'S NOT
6 GETTING OUT OF THESE LAWSUITS, THEY'RE GIVING THEM ALL TO YOU.
7 AND WE'RE SAYING HEY, THIS IS PRETTY GOOD, IT'S CALLED RE-
8 ALIGNMENT. WELL IN '91 THEY GAVE US RE-ALIGNMENT AND THEY
9 STILL OWE US MONEY TODAY, HALF A BILLION DOLLARS. THEY OWE YOU
10 FOR REVOCABLE PAROLE, 6.9, \$6.2 MILLION. SO I THINK THEY OWE
11 YOU ANOTHER \$50 MILLION FOR SOME OTHER PROGRAM. SO THIS IS NOT
12 A ROMANCE. THIS IS A REAL FOUR LETTER WORD.

13

14 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** MR. ANTONOVICH, I DON'T THINK ANYONE THINKS
15 THAT ANYTHING WE DO NOW IN MY DEPARTMENT IS A ROMANCE. LET ME
16 BE VERY UP FRONT WITH YOU.

17

18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** I KNOW. YOU'RE RIGHT ON. YOU'RE RIGHT
19 ON. THESE ARE THE PROBLEMS YOU'RE GOING FACE. BUT IT'S NOT
20 JUST GOING TO BE YOU AND US. IT'S THE 10.5 MILLION PEOPLE WHO
21 LIVE IN THE COUNTY WHO ARE NOW BE PAYING THE LEGAL FEES FOR
22 ALL OF THE CRIMES THAT THESE INDIVIDUALS ARE COMMITTING OR THE
23 MISHAPS THAT OUR BUREAUCRATS ARE COMMITTING ARE GOING TO BE
24 LITIGATED IN COURT BUT WE PAY ALL THE LEGAL COSTS.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S RIGHT. AS PART OF A PROPOSAL, IN
2 ADDITION TO A PHASE-IN PROCESS, BECAUSE TAKING ON THIS
3 POPULATION IMMEDIATELY WOULD BE UNREASONABLE. WE'VE TALKED
4 ABOUT RECEIVING THE NECESSARY FUNDS FROM THE STATE TO
5 ESTABLISH THAT LIABILITY TRUST FUND. I KNOW THIS IS VERY
6 DIFFICULT, BUT IF WE TAKE THE POSITION FROM THE ONSET OF JUST
7 SAYING NO, WE'RE GOING TO BE IN A POSITION WHERE WHAT'S GOING
8 TO COME DOWN SUBSEQUENT TO THIS FAILING COULD BE WORSE THAN
9 WHAT'S ON THE TABLE RIGHT NOW. AT LEAST WHAT'S ON THE TABLE
10 RIGHT NOW OFFERS SOME DEGREE OF AT LEAST THE OPTION FOR US TO
11 STIPULATE, TO ARTICULATE WHAT CONDITIONS WE NEED. BUT ALSO THE
12 FUNDING AND FLEXIBILITY WE NEED TO RUN THESE PROGRAMS. BECAUSE
13 ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, THE STATE'S GOING TO CUT \$5 BILLION.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THIS IS JIM JONES KOOL-AID AND WE
16 WONDER HOW ALL THESE SMART PEOPLE COULD BE DRINKING KOOL-AID
17 AND KILLING THEMSELVES BECAUSE SOMEBODY GAVE THEM THIS KOOL-
18 AID. THIS IS WHAT SOUNDS LIKE BEING GIVEN TO THE STATE CALLED
19 REALIGNMENT WHEN THERE ARE NO GUARANTEES. NOT ONLY ARE WE
20 GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LEGAL, FOR THE PUBLIC SAFETY
21 BUT ALSO FOR HEALTH AND THE SOCIAL SERVICES. ALL OF THEM. AND
22 WE'RE SUPPOSED TO SAY "WELL THIS IS A GREAT, GREAT TASTE, NEW
23 TASTE THAT'S GOING TO MAKE EVERYTHING WELL?"

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** I DON'T THINK ANYONE IS SAYING THIS IS
2 GREAT. LET'S DO THIS. I'M RECOMMENDING TO YOU --

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO, I'M NOT SAYING YOU'RE SAYING THAT.
5 I'M JUST SAYING I HEAR IT FROM THE OTHER SIDE HERE, SAYING HOW
6 IT'S GOING TO BE GOOD OR WE'RE GOING TO PHASE IN. WE'RE
7 GETTING SCREWED.

8

9 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** I'M NOT USING THE WORD GOOD. I'M USING THE
10 WORD PLANNING. I THINK WE OWE IT TO YOU, TO GIVE THIS BOARD.

11

12 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. MAYOR, WOULD YOU RESTRAIN YOURSELF.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU DO, YOU DO.

15

16 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** THE BEST PLANS THAT WE CAN POSSIBLY PUT
17 TOGETHER WITH THE PLUSES AND THE MINUSES ATTACHED. AND THEN
18 YOU MAKE YOUR DECISION ABOUT WHETHER THIS IS --

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE OUGHT TO DO LIKE REAGAN DID TO
21 GORBACHEV AND SAY NO AND LEAVE, AND THEN COME BACK AND GET A
22 REAL PLAN THAT WAS FINALLY ADOPTED BY BOTH SIDES THAT BROUGHT
23 PEACE AND CIVILITY BETWEEN THE TWO NATIONS. THAT'S WHAT WE
24 OUGHT TO DO. NOT DO LIKE SOME OF THE FORMER GUYS DID AND WENT
25 IN AND SAID WHATEVER YOU WANT, HAVE IT. PLUS WE'RE GOING TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 GIVE YOU MORE MONEY TO DO IT. WELL HERE THE MORE MONEY WE ARE
2 GIVING THEM IS OUR MONEY, OUR RESPONSIBILITIES, OUR
3 LIABILITIES AND WITH A SMILE. THAT'S NOT ROMANCE. THAT'S HERE
4 TODAY, GONE TOMORROW. YOU'RE GOING TO BE STUCK WITH--

5

6 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. MAYOR?

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS.

9

10 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. MAYOR MAY I REQUEST THAT YOU RESTRAIN
11 YOURSELF FROM REVISIONIST ACCOUNTS OF HISTORY. THANK YOU SO
12 MUCH. [LAUGHTER.]

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

15

16 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** MY JOB IS TO PROVIDE YOU WITH YOUR COMFORT
17 LEVEL IN TERMS OF OUR PLANNING PROCESS. AND I THINK WE'RE
18 CLOSE TO DOING THAT.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL, HERE - WHAT I HEARD YOU SAY EARLIER ON
21 THE INCARCERATION OF THESE LOW-LEVEL OFFENDERS WAS VIRTUALLY
22 THE SAME THING THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY SAID WHEN WE WERE ALL
23 HERE AT THE HEARING A WEEK AND A HALF AGO, THAT IT'S NOT GOING
24 TO WORK. NOW I HEAR YOU TALKING ABOUT PLANNING.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** IT COULD WORK. BUT IN THE PRESENT CONTEXT I
2 DON'T THINK THE VOLUME WE CAN HANDLE. WE CAN HANDLE SOME OF IT
3 BUT NOT ALL OF IT.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** HOW MUCH OF IT DO YOU THINK YOU COULD
6 HANDLE? AND HOW?

7

8 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** IT DEPENDS ON HOW THE MONEY ALIGNS WITH THE
9 ABILITY TO HANDLE IT. AND THE MONEY IS CRITICAL TO ALL OF
10 THIS, QUITE FRANKLY. IT IS THE LINCHPIN OF SUCCESS OR FAILURE,
11 AS MIKE AND OTHERS HERE ARE TALKING ABOUT. I DON'T KNOW
12 EXACTLY, BUT I WOULD SAY IN THE REALM OF 1,500 TO 2,000.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO WHEN YOU SAID EARLIER THAT YOU COULDN'T
15 DO IT BECAUSE YOU HAD A CAPACITY PROBLEM, THE CAPACITY PROBLEM
16 WAS A PARTIAL CAPACITY PROBLEM?

17

18 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** I DIDN'T SAY IT. I SAID THAT I DON'T THINK
19 THE VOLUME UNDER THEIR CONDITIONS OF STANDARD OF NON, NON,
20 NON, THAT WE CAN AFFORD TO HOUSE EVERYTHING THAT'S ELIGIBLE.

21

22 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** BECAUSE THAT NUMBER IS SO BIG.

23



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** THAT'S CORRECT. BUT WE CAN CERTAINLY HANDLE
2 SOME SOME OF IT, AS MR. FUJIOKA WELL KNOWS, AND THAT'S WHY HE
3 AND I HAVE A LITTLE MORE PLANNING TO DO.

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL I DON'T KNOW THAT WE HAVE -- I DON'T
6 KNOW THAT WE HAVE THE TIME TO DO THE PLANNING. BECAUSE
7 DECISIONS ARE GOING TO GET MADE BY THE STATE IN THE NEXT
8 COUPLE, THREE WEEKS. AND ONCE THOSE DECISIONS ARE MADE, THE
9 TRAIN HAS LEFT THE STATION. AND I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. I
10 KNOW THAT THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE WHO THINK THAT WE HAVE SEVERAL
11 MONTHS TO PLAN. BUT ONCE THE DECISION'S BEEN MADE THAT THESE
12 LOW-LEVEL OFFENDERS ARE GOING TO BE THE COUNTY'S
13 RESPONSIBILITY, IT'S GOING TO BE VERY HARD TO UNRING THAT
14 BELL. THAT'S MY ONLY CONCERN. AND THAT DECISION'S GOING TO BE
15 MADE BY THE EARLY PART OF MARCH.

16

17 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** WELL MY UNDERSTANDING IS DIFFERENT. MY
18 UNDERSTANDING IS THAT MARCH IS WHEN THEY'D LIKE TO SEE, THE
19 STATE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE'S OFFICES, THE COUNTY'S COUNTER PLAN
20 TO WHAT THEIR MARCHING PROPOSAL IS, AND THAT NOTHING WILL BE
21 DONE ON THE MARCHING PROPOSAL IF OUR PLAN IS NOT SATISFYING
22 US. WE HAVE FOUGHT THIS FIGHT A MONTH OR SO AGO WHEN ALL THIS
23 BEGAN. WE'RE CLEAR WE'RE NOT COMMITTING TO A STATE-IMPOSED
24 PROCESS THAT ISN'T PRACTICAL TO THE THINGS THAT MR. ANTONOVICH



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IS SAYING, TO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING AND TO WHOEVER ELSE IS
2 SAYING.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YEAH, BUT THE EARLY MARCH DEADLINE IS THE
5 DEADLINE FOR PUTTING MEASURES ON THE BALLOT. AND LEGISLATIVE
6 LEADERSHIP, I BELIEVE, BASED ON MY CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM AND
7 WITH OTHERS UP THERE, IS THAT THEY ARE GOING TO WANT TO HAVE -
8 - THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BE ABLE TO TELL THE VOTERS IN THE
9 MEASURES THEY'RE PUTTING ON THE BALLOT WHAT THEY'RE VOTING
10 FOR.

11

12 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** CORRECT.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT THEY'RE NOT BUYING A PIG IN A POKE.

15

16 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** AND ONE OF THE REQUESTS THAT WE'RE MAKING IS
17 THAT THIS COUNTY BE DESIGNATED AS A MEGACOUNTY SO THAT WE
18 DON'T IMPOSE UNIFORM REQUIREMENTS TO ALL COUNTIES BECAUSE OF
19 OUR UNIFORM REQUIREMENTS IN THIS COUNTY. WE ARE SAYING
20 ESSENTIALLY MANY THINGS. DESIGNATE L.A. COUNTY AS A
21 MEGACOUNTY. RESPOND TO L.A. COUNTY'S MANDATED REQUESTS FOR HOW
22 THIS COULD BE EVEN FEASIBLE WITHOUT COMMITMENT. THE CONTRACT
23 ELEMENT THAT MR. FUJIOKA AND I HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING AND OPENLY
24 AND THIS BOARD IS A WAY OF KEEPING US FROM GOING TOO FAR IF
25 IT'S NOT -- IF IT'S DOING EXACTLY WHAT MR. ANTONOVICH IS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SAYING OR EVEN YOU OR SUPERVISOR MOLINA, OR ANY OTHER
2 SUPERVISOR IS UNCOMFORTABLE. IF IT STARTS TO APPEAR TO BE A
3 BAD DEAL, WE'RE OUT OF IT. ALL THAT COULD BE DONE
4 LEGISLATIVELY. AND THIS COUNTY DESERVES THAT STATUS. WE ARE
5 THE NUMBER ONE COUNTY IN THE COUNTRY. AND SO NONE OF US, MR.
6 FUJIOKA AND ME, I CAN TELL YOU THIS, IF I THOUGHT THAT WE WERE
7 GOING OVER THE CLIFF ON THIS THING, I'D STOP THE WHOLE
8 PLANNING PROCESS RIGHT NOW WITHIN MY OWN DEPARTMENT. I SEE
9 THIS AS A CRIME FIGHTING OPPORTUNITY, THAT'S WHAT I SEE.
10 THAT'S WHERE I'M PUTTING MY MARBLES. I'M SAYING THE
11 PREDICTABLE OFFENDERS IN L.A. COUNTY ARE PAROLEES. SEVENTY
12 PERCENT GO BACK TO THE STATE PRISON SYSTEM. THE SYSTEM IS
13 BROKEN. WE HAVE A CHANCE TO FIX IT AND MAKE IT BETTER, NOT
14 NECESSARILY FIX IT. I REALLY DON'T THINK YOU'LL EVER TRULY FIX
15 IT TO THE SATISFACTION OF ALL OF US. BUT YOU CAN MAKE IT
16 BETTER. AND THAT'S MY OBLIGATION TO YOU, IS TO SAY HERE'S THE
17 PLAN, ACCEPT IT OR REJECT IT. AND OF COURSE I'LL FOLLOW THE
18 WILL OF THE BOARD ON THIS. I'M NOT GOING TO STEP OUTSIDE OF
19 THIS BOARD UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES WITH THIS PARTICULAR
20 REALIGNMENT PROJECT.

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU HAVE A HARD TIME BUILDING JAILS
23 FOR THE PEOPLE GOING THROUGH THE SYSTEM. NOW YOU'RE GOING TO
24 START BUILDING JAILS FOR THE PEOPLE FROM THE STATE
25 PENITENTIARY?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** I'M NOT BUILDING ANY JAILS FOR ANYBODY IN
3 THE STATE PENITENTIARY.

4

5 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND THEY'RE GOING TO GO IN THE
6 COMMUNITY AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO PAY FOR THEIR PUBLIC
7 DEFENDER AND OUR COUNTY COUNSEL WILL BE THE PROSECUTOR, ALL
8 THEIR LEGAL COSTS, WHEN THEY HAVE A PROBLEM.

9

10 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** WE DO IT NOW. THE WHOLE SYSTEM REVOLVES
11 AROUND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. THE BACK END IS THE STATE
12 PRISON SYSTEM. BUT WE ARE BEARING THE BRUNT OF THE CRIMINAL
13 JUSTICE FRONT END. AND WE'RE BEARING THE BRUNT WHEN THEY COME
14 OUT ON PAROLE. THEY'RE NOT COMMITTING THE CRIMES --

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE STATE SHOULD BE REFORMING IT AT
17 THE STATE LEVEL USING THE STATE RESOURCES AND NOT HAVING THE
18 INFLATED SALARIES THAT THEY HAVE, WHICH EAT UP ALL THE
19 REVENUES, INSTEAD OF PUTTING THOSE MONIES INTO PROGRAMS TO
20 HELP THESE PEOPLE, IT IS ALL GOING INTO A FEW UNIONS' POCKETS.

21

22 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** MY ISSUE SIMPLY, ONE, I DON'T TRUST THE
23 STATE RESOURCES MORE THAN I TRUST OUR RESOURCES HERE IN THE
24 COUNTY. I KNOW WHAT OUR RESOURCES ARE CAPABLE OF. THEY'RE VERY
25 FLEXIBLE, THEY'RE ADAPTIVE, THEY ADJUST, THEY CREATE, THEY



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MOVE IN A CONTEXT OF SHARED OBLIGATION. THE PAROLE PLAN I'M
2 PROPOSING TO YOU EVENTUALLY WILL INVOLVE THE LOS ANGELES
3 POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE'VE MET WITH THEM. THIS IS COMPREHENSIVE
4 REFORM. IT ISN'T JUST REALIGNMENT. IT IS A PAROLE REFORM MODEL
5 THAT IS LONG OVERDUE. SO MY POINT IS, THESE CRIMINALS ARE
6 OURS. THEY BEGAN HERE AND THEY'RE COMING BACK HERE. AND THE
7 QUESTION IS, DO WE WANT TO DO SOMETHING MORE EFFECTIVE OR
8 DON'T WE, AS LONG AS THE MONEY IS THERE AND THE LIABILITY
9 INDEMNIFICATION ASPECT? I TOTALLY AGREE WITH THAT. I DON'T
10 THINK THIS COUNTY CAN AFFORD ADDITIONAL COSTS.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE STATE CAN'T PAY FOR IT NOW WITH
13 THEIR FUNDS AND THEY'RE GOING TO GIVE IT TO THE LOCAL
14 GOVERNMENTS WHO CAN'T MAKE THEIR OWN OBLIGATION WITH THE FUNDS
15 THAT WE HAVE WITH WHAT WE ALREADY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR.

16

17 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** AND WE CAN'T EVEN PAY WITH OUR FUNDS.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT.

20

21 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** I COULD USE MORE JAIL SPACE.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** PLUS WE GET THE LEGAL COSTS, AS WELL.
24 SO I APPRECIATE YOUR COMMENTS.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SHERIFF LEE BACA:** THANK YOU.

2

3 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND THEN WE'LL GET NEXT WEEK THE
4 REPORT ON SPECIFICS, AS STATED. SUPERVISOR? ANY OTHER?

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I DON'T KNOW IF WE LET MR. FUJIOKA FINISH
7 HIS REPORT. I INTERRUPTED HIM AND THEN IT WENT SOUTH. I THINK
8 WE SHOULD HEAR THE REST OF HIS REPORT.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY.

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WAS TALKING ABOUT THE
13 SHIFT OF LOW LEVEL OFFENDERS AND SOME OF THE CONDITIONS THAT
14 WOULD HAVE TO BE MET. WELL I ALSO MENTIONED BEING ABLE TO
15 ACCESS A.B.900 WITHOUT SOME OF THE CURRENT CONDITIONS THAT
16 SPEAK TO LAND TITLE AND MATCH REQUIREMENTS. THERE'S
17 APPROXIMATELY \$5 BILLION IN THAT ACCOUNT THAT'S NOT BEING USED
18 THAT SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS SHOULD WE
19 BE ASKED TO TAKE ON THE LOW LEVEL OFFENDERS. WE ALSO SHOULD BE
20 ABLE TO ACCESS BLOCK GRANTS FOR THE SHIFT OF THIS POPULATION.
21 I DO HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT D.J.J. I MENTIONED TO YOU BECAUSE OF
22 THIS, THE POPULATION THAT IS IN THIS PROGRAM. WE DON'T HAVE
23 THE FACILITIES. WE DON'T HAVE THE STAFF, ESPECIALLY STAFF WHO
24 ARE TRAINED TO HANDLE THIS TYPE OF POPULATION. SO I WOULD
25 STATE THAT THIS ONE IS NOT SUITABLE FOR REALIGNMENT. SHOULD



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THIS PROGRAM GET IMPOSED ON US, IT IS POSSIBLE WE CAN DO IT
2 THROUGH A CONTRACT MODEL WHERE BASICALLY THE FUNDS WOULD COME
3 TO US, WE CONTRACT BACK WITH THE STATE. BUT I THINK OUR
4 INITIAL POSITION WOULD BE TO SAY IT'S NOT SUITABLE FOR
5 REALIGNMENT. ADULT PAROLE? THAT'S SOMETHING THAT HAS A
6 POSSIBILITY, LIKE OUR SHERIFF SAID. BUT STILL, IT NEEDS SOME
7 FURTHER ANALYSIS. BUT WHAT WE DO NEED IS AGAIN, ELECTRONIC
8 MONITORING OF THE PAROLEES, TO HAVE THAT ABILITY. WE HAVE TO
9 HAVE THE ABILITY TO DETERMINE OUR OWN SUPERVISORY METHODS AND
10 PROGRAMS AND LEVEL OF SUPERVISION, THE LENGTH OF CUSTODY
11 COMMITMENTS, TREATMENT AND SO ON. WE HAVE-- THERE ARE SOME
12 GRANT FUNDS THAT WE MUST BE ABLE TO ACCESS TO PROVIDE THE
13 FUNDS NECESSARY TO INCREASE THE STAFF AND THE SUPPORT SERVICES
14 NEEDED FOR THIS POPULATION. THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT HAS
15 POTENTIAL THAT I'M SAYING AGAIN INSTEAD OF JUST SAYING NO,
16 THAT WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT IT CAREFULLY. COURT SECURITY, I
17 THINK THE CURRENT MODEL IS APPROPRIATE. I'M SAYING THAT SHOULD
18 BE ALIGNED FOR RE-ALIGNMENT. WE SHOULD MAINTAIN THE CURRENT
19 CONTRACT MODEL WE HAVE IN PLACE WITH THE COURTS. MY CONCERN IS
20 THAT ONCE IT TRANSFERS THE COUNTY, THAT THAT COST COULD EASILY
21 GET OUT OF CONTROL BECAUSE WE'LL HAVE THE JUDICIAL BRANCH
22 ASKING FOR MORE SECURITY THAN IT CURRENTLY HAS. THE BIG
23 CONCERN WE HAVE WITH RESPECT TO THE EXTENSION OF V.L.F. AND
24 SALES TAX, I THINK WE ALL KNOW THAT OUR PROBATION DEPARTMENT
25 AND OTHER PROGRAMS RECEIVE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF FUNDS FROM



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THE V.L.F. PROGRAM RIGHT NOW. SHOULD THAT NOT BE EXTENDED,
2 PROBATION ALONE WOULD BE HIT BY ABOUT \$180 MILLION, WHICH
3 WOULD HAVE A DEVASTATING IMPACT ON OUR PROBATION PROGRAM. AND
4 AS A CONSEQUENCE, BECAUSE WE COULD HAVE DOWNSIZED THAT PROGRAM
5 QUICKLY, IT WOULD EASILY BLEED OVER TO THE REST OF OUR COUNTY
6 BUDGET. CAL FIRE IS, I'VE STATED AT SOME LENGTH MY
7 RESERVATIONS TO THIS PROGRAM. LAST WEEK, OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT,
8 THOUGH, FEELS THAT BECAUSE THEY CURRENTLY PROVIDE THE SERVICE,
9 THIS MAY BE SOMETHING THAT COULD BE MOVED THROUGH THE RE-
10 ALIGNMENT PROCESS. I LIKE TO SEE THE CONTRACT MODEL USED FOR
11 CAL FIRE. BUT THAT'S ESSENTIALLY IT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AN INHERENT FLAW IN THE REALIGNMENT
14 PROPOSAL IS THE PHILOSOPHY OF INCLUDING CHILD WELFARE AND
15 MENTAL HEALTH UNDER PUBLIC SAFETY. AND BEFORE AN ILL-CONCEIVED
16 REALIGNMENT DESTABILIZES THE THREE SERVICES, WE HAVE TO
17 CONSIDER WHAT THE LAW IS AND THE TITLE 4 WAIVER. BILL, WHAT
18 WOULD BE THE IMPACT TO OUR COUNTY IF THE STATE OPTED OUT OF
19 THE WAIVER TODAY?

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S ONE PROGRAM THAT WE'RE SAYING THAT WE
22 MUST DO WHATEVER POSSIBLE TO MAINTAIN OUR TITLE 4-E WAIVER.
23 THE IMPACT, LET ALONE THE OPERATIONAL IMPACT, THE FINANCIAL
24 IMPACT WOULD BE DEVASTATING.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT WOULD BE DEVASTATING.

2

3 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SO IN OUR RECOMMENDATION, IN OUR PROPOSAL, I
4 DON'T KNOW IF YOU'RE DONE WITH OUR --

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT'S WHERE IT'S RELATING ON PUBLIC
7 SAFETY. THAT'S OKAY. NEXT WEEK YOU'LL COME OUT WITH SOME
8 RECOMMENDATIONS. OKAY, THANK YOU.

9

10 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** BUT YOU'RE RIGHT, MR. MAYOR, IT WOULD HAVE A
11 DEVASTATING IMPACT. THAT IS ONE PROGRAM WHERE WE'RE SAYING FOR
12 THE TITLE 4-E WAIVER, THAT WE MUST DO WHATEVER IS POSSIBLE TO
13 KEEP THAT IN PLACE.

14

15 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND WHAT WOULD BE THE SAVINGS IF THE
16 D.C.F.S. BUDGET CONTRACTED IN-HOUSE PROGRAMS RIGHT NOW, SUCH
17 AS BACKEND CASE MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR FAMILY REUNIFICATION
18 AND PERMANENCY AND SELF-SUFFICIENCY. IF WE COULD HAVE A REPORT
19 BACK NEXT WEEK ON THAT.

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES, SIR.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND THEN UNDER THE STATE LAW THAT WAS
24 PASSED RELATIVE TO A.B.3632, AND THIS WAS PASSED RELATIVE TO
25 THE ISSUE OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR STUDENTS WITH AN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION PLAN APPROVED BY A LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT.
2 WHAT HAS HAPPENED NOW, THE STATE IS NOT FUNDING THOSE, AND WE
3 HAVE A PROBLEM. AND THEY'RE ASKING THE COUNTIES TO PICK UP
4 THOSE COSTS AND WE DON'T HAVE THE RESOURCES. ANOTHER IN 1984
5 WAS ASSEMBLY BILL 3632, WHICH WAS A MENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S
6 RESPONSIBILITY FOR PROVIDING MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES TO THE
7 DISABLED STUDENTS AS A STATE REIMBURSABLE MANDATE TO COUNTIES.
8 WELL, THAT PROGRAM WAS SUSPENDED, THE REIMBURSEMENT PART, IN
9 THE 2010-2011 STATE BUDGET. SO THE COUNTY IS CURRENTLY OWED
10 \$187 MILLION IN TWO 2004 AND PRIOR STATE MANDATE CLAIMS. SO
11 THE QUESTION, MR. FUJIOKA, WHAT IS THE ESTIMATED COST FOR THE
12 COUNTY TO ADMINISTER ASSEMBLY BILL 3632, AND IS THE GOVERNOR'S
13 PLAN REFLECTING THE CORRECT COSTS?

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAVE AN ESTIMATED COST OF APPROXIMATELY \$65
16 MILLION. WE NEED TO REFINE THAT COST BECAUSE OF THAT, WE HAVE
17 A DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH COST OF \$53 MILLION AND A
18 D.C.F.S. COST OF 11.9. I DID WANT TO COMMENT ON YOUR STATEMENT
19 REGARDING THE ISSUE OF REQUIRING COUNTIES TO SIGN THE
20 INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PLAN. YOU ARE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT, IF WE
21 WERE TO TAKE ON THIS PROGRAM, ALTHOUGH I FEEL THAT IT'S NOT
22 SUITABLE FOR REALIGNMENT, BUT IF WE WERE, IT WOULD BIND US
23 LEGALLY TO A MANDATE THAT IS REALLY NOT OUR MANDATE, AND
24 THAT'S SOMETHING WE SHOULD SAY NO TO.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE \$187 MILLION
2 THAT CURRENTLY IS BEING OWED TO THE COUNTY?

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE \$187 MILLION IS RELATED TO OUR S.B.90
5 PROGRAM. AND AS WITH ANY YEAR, WE'RE AGGRESSIVELY PURSUING
6 REIMBURSEMENT OF THOSE FUNDS. THE STATE IS JUST SLOW. I HAD A
7 CONVERSATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ON OUR MEDI-CAL
8 REIMBURSEMENT FUNDS. AND ALTHOUGH THE CONVERSATION FROM THEIR
9 END SAID WE KNOW YOU WOULD LIKE IT QUICKER, BUT RIGHT NOW WE
10 ARE STILL TWO TO THREE YEARS IN ARREARS. AND WE CAN'T DO IT
11 ANY FASTER BECAUSE OUR FUNDS COME FOLLOWING THE AUDIT OF OUR
12 REQUEST OR EXPENDITURES. BUT LIKE I MENTIONED, WE RECENTLY
13 RECEIVED FISCAL YEAR 2005, 2006 AND THEN THE 06/07 FUNDS, BUT
14 THEY HAVE YET TO PAY US FOR 07/08, 08/09, 09/10. AND THERE'S
15 STILL A PORTION OF THAT THE PREVIOUS YEAR FOR THAT.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND WE'RE GOING TO STILL TRUST THEM.
18 WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE DISCUSSIONS THAT THE COUNTY IS
19 HAVING WITH OUR SCHOOL DISTRICTS?

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WITH OUR SCHOOL DISTRICTS?

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ON THIS PROGRAM, THE REIMBURSEMENT OR
24 FUNDING ON THE MENTAL HEALTH -- YEAH.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AS IT RELATES TO THE MEDI-CAL?

2

3 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YES.

4

5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAVE STAFF ON EVERY SINGLE PROGRAM, WHETHER
6 IT'S THE PAROLE PROGRAM WHERE THEY OWE US \$50 MILLION AND OUR
7 MEDICARE PROGRAM OR S.B.90 PROGRAMS WHERE WE ARE AGGRESSIVELY
8 PURSUING THOSE FUNDS. THE STATE, I THINK PRINCIPALLY DUE TO
9 THE HOST OF PROBLEMS THEY HAVE, THEY DON'T HAVE, ONE, THE
10 STAFF TO PROCESS SOME OF THE REQUESTS IN A TIMELY MANNER, NOR
11 DO THEY HAVE THE FUNDS. WITH A \$25 BILLION PROBLEM, THERE WILL
12 BE CONSEQUENCES ASSOCIATED WITH THIS.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE CURRENT

15 ADMINISTRATIVE CAP IS FOR MENTAL HEALTH MANAGED CARE PROGRAMS?

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I DON'T HAVE THEM IN FRONT OF ME SIR. I'LL GET
18 THAT FOR YOU.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? THEN NEXT WEEK.
21 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. SHERIFF, WHEN YOU RETURN, WE WANT YOU
2 TO BE NICE TO THE MAYOR.

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE JUST HAD DINNER, NIGHT AGO LAST.
5 NO, LEE'S A GOOD MAN.

6

7 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** WELL THEN FEED HIM SOMETHING ELSE. THANK
8 YOU.

9

10 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** HE HAS A NICE WIFE. THAT'S WHAT MAKES
11 HIM NICER, RIGHT? PUBLIC WORKS? DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS?
12 WAITING FOR PUBLIC WORKS. WHILE WE ARE WAITING FOR PUBLIC
13 WORKS, I'D LIKE TO READ A MOTION. A RECENT N.B.C. NEWS REPORT
14 STATED THAT THE DEADLY SAN BRUNO GAS EXPLOSION ILLUSTRATED THE
15 DANGERS OF NATURAL GAS MAINS MADE OF STEEL WHICH ARE
16 SUSCEPTIBLE TO CORROSION, LEAKING, AND WHOSE RIGIDITY MAKES IT
17 SUSCEPTIBLE TO CRACKING UNDER STRESS. HOWEVER SINCE 1970,
18 LEAKS, FIRES AND EXPLOSIONS HAVE DECLINED SINCE MANY
19 ESTABLISHED UTILITIES HAVE NOW SWITCHED OVER TO PLASTIC PIPES,
20 WHICH ARE LESS VULNERABLE TO CORROSION. ACCORDING TO THE
21 PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION, DEATHS
22 AND SUCH ACCIDENTS HAVE DECLINED FROM 246 IN THE '70S TO 120
23 IN THE 2000S. WHILE THE MAJORITY OF NATURAL GAS PIPES ARE
24 PLASTIC, APPROXIMATELY 60 PERCENT OF THE NATION'S 475,000
25 LARGE GAS MAINS, THOSE ARE WIDER THAN 16-INCH IN DIAMETER ARE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 STEEL ACCORDING TO THE NATURAL GAS SUPPLY ASSOCIATION.
2 ACCORDING TO FEDERAL STATISTICS, STEEL PIPES ARE IMPLICATED IN
3 MORE NATURAL GAS INCIDENTS THAN THOSE MADE OF PLASTIC
4 MATERIALS. THROUGH AUGUST 11 OF 2010, THE PIPELINE AND
5 HAZARDOUS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION RECORDED 57 SUCH INCIDENTS IN
6 2010, 20 OF THEM INVOLVING STEEL PIPES, 11 OF THEM PLASTIC.
7 MOST OF THE OTHER INCIDENTS INVOLVED METAL PIPES, USUALLY
8 ALUMINUM OR CAST IRON. THE SECOND LARGEST NATURAL GAS
9 DISTRIBUTOR, UTMOST ENERGY CORPORATION, OPERATING IN 12
10 STATES, AGREED IN SEPTEMBER 2010 TO REPLACE 100,000 STEEL
11 PIPELINES IN NORTH TEXAS BY LATE 2012. THE TEXAS RAILROAD
12 COMMISSION, WHICH REGULATES NATURAL GAS PIPELINES HAS
13 COMMISSIONED A FEASIBILITY STUDY TO REPLACE ALL 525,000 STEEL
14 SERVICE LINES ACROSS THE STATE. IN WASHINGTON, THE PUGET SOUND
15 ENERGY COMPANY HAD TO REPLACE ABOUT 9,000 OLDER STEEL GAS
16 LINES, AND IN ARIZONA REGULATORS INCLUDED A WARNING NOTICE IN
17 THE MONTHLY BILLS TO THE NEARLY 2 MILLION CUSTOMERS SERVED BY
18 THE SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION. THE NOTICE THAT THEY SENT TO
19 THEIR CUSTOMERS WARNS THAT, "BURIED STEEL GAS LINES ARE
20 SUBJECT TO THE EFFECTS OF CORROSION. AND IF THEY ARE NOT
21 MAINTAINED, COULD RESULT IN LEAKAGE." AND THEY STATE THAT IT'S
22 THE CUSTOMER'S RESPONSIBILITY TO MONITOR THE LINES TO IDENTIFY
23 THESE POTENTIAL PROBLEMS BEFORE THEY BECOME HAZARDOUS. THESE
24 ACTIONS WERE TAKEN AS A RESULT OF GAS LINE EXPLOSIONS
25 RESULTING IN DEATH AND INJURY. I'D MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO REPORT BACK IN 45 DAYS WITH
2 THE AGE AND NUMBER OF MILES OF STEEL GAS LINES IN LOS ANGELES
3 COUNTY AND THE STATUS OF REPLACING THEM WITH PLASTIC PIPES.
4 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AS A REPORT BACK. WITHOUT
5 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. YEAH, QUESTION. WE HAVE HAD I THINK ONE
6 OF THE WORST ECONOMIC TSUNAMIS TO HIT ROSEMEAD BOULEVARD WITH
7 THAT PROJECT THAT HAS TAKEN A LITTLE BIT LONGER THAN
8 ANTICIPATED AND WITH ALL OF THE DISCUSSIONS THAT WERE TAKING
9 PLACE WITH THE STAKEHOLDERS IN THE AREA. AND THAT CONGESTION
10 IS LIKE SEVEN DAYS. AND IT IS IMPACTING NOT JUST FOOTHILL BUT
11 ROSEMEAD BOULEVARD AND ALL THE OTHER CROSS STREETS. THE
12 QUESTION IS, IS THE CONTRACTOR OBLIGATED TO WORK EVERY DAY?

13

14 **GAIL FARBER:** YES, SUPERVISOR. WE'RE AWARE OF THE CONSTRUCTION
15 IMPACTS AND THE IMPACTS ON THE BUSINESSES IN THAT AREA, AND
16 WE'VE BEEN WORKING CLOSELY WITH THEM. THE CONTRACT IS WRAPPING
17 UP. AND I CAN GET THAT REPORT BACK TO YOU ON EXACTLY WHAT THE
18 WORKING DAY REQUIREMENTS ARE.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND WHY IT'S TAKING AS LONG AS IT IS
21 TO COMPLETE?

22

23 **GAIL FARBER:** YEAH. IT'S AN EXTENSIVE PROJECT. AND, YES, IT
24 DOES HAVE IMPACTS WITH RESPECT TO EGRESS AND INGRESS FOR
25 BUSINESSES. WE'VE BEEN WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE BUSINESSES AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 HELPING PROMOTE THEIR BUSINESSES AND THEIR HOURS AND ACCESS AS
2 BEST WE CAN. AND WE CONTINUE TO DO IN AS THE JOB WRAPS UP. BUT
3 WE ARE NEARING COMPLETION AND I CAN CERTAINLY GET THOSE DAYS
4 BACK TO YOU.

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND DO WE KNOW WHY THE CONTRACTS WERE
7 SPLIT BETWEEN ROAD AND LANDSCAPING AND WHY THEY WEREN'T
8 PARALLEL WITH ONE ANOTHER TO AVOID THE DELAYS THAT HAVE BEEN
9 CREATED BY THIS?

10

11 **GAIL FARBER:** I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER WITH YOU RIGHT HERE BUT I
12 CAN GET THAT BACK FOR YOU.

13

14 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND ARE WE KEEPING OBSTRUCTION LANES
15 OUT OF THE RIGHT OF WAY BETWEEN CONTRACTORS?

16

17 **GAIL FARBER:** YES. THERE'S A COORDINATION CLAUSE AND WE'RE
18 WORKING AS BEST AS WE CAN TO COORDINATE THAT WORK.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND WE'RE COORDINATING THE BUSINESSES,
21 AS WELL?

22

23 **GAIL FARBER:** CORRECT.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** I KNOW WITH PUBLIC SAFETY, YOU HAVE A
2 PROBLEM WITH AGAIN HUNTINGTON AND MAJOR CROSS STREETS BEING
3 CONGESTED. AND IT'S JUST LIKE NOBODY CARES. AND SOMEHOW, WE
4 NEED TO EXPEDITE THE PROJECT TO ALLEVIATE THE CONGESTION AND
5 THE DISRUPTIONS TO THE NEIGHBORING COMMUNITY AND BUSINESSES.

6

7 **GAIL FARBER:** I MEAN, UNDERSTOOD. IT IS THAT WITH AN EXTENSIVE
8 PROJECT SUCH AS THIS, THAT IT DOES CAUSE DELAYS WITH TRAFFIC
9 CONGESTION AND COORDINATING THE WORK HOURS AS WELL AS WITH THE
10 BUSINESSES. AND WE'RE DOING OUR BEST AND THE PROJECT IS
11 WRAPPING UP.

12

13 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. REPORT BACK.

14

15 **GAIL FARBER:** THANK YOU.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ON THE PUBLIC COMMENT ON S-1, COULD WE
18 HAVE THE SOCIAL SERVICE GROUP? DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
19 FAMILY SERVICES AND DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

20

21 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** CONVENTION SHALL BE IN ORDER.

22

23 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** GOOD LUCK, SHERIFF. WE NEED TO BRING
24 LEE DOWN MORE OFTEN.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOUR COMMENTS ON THE REALIGNMENT?

2

3 **DR. MITCHELL KATZ:** MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS, THIS IS DR. MITCH KATZ.

4 WHILE THE REALIGNMENT HAS AN IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH AND

5 DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, WE DON'T BELIEVE IT DIRECTLY

6 AFFECTS OUR BUDGET WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

9

10 **MARVIN SOUTHARD:** MARVIN SOUTHARD, DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT

11 OF MENTAL HEALTH. FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, WE

12 BELIEVE THAT THE ANSWER ON 3632 OUGHT TO BE NO, THAT IT'S NOT

13 SUITABLE FOR REALIGNMENT. BUT WE WOULD HAVE IN OUR BACK POCKET

14 WAYS OF MAKING IT WORK IF WE HAD TO HAVE IT REALIGNED. WITH

15 REGARD TO E.P.S.D.T. AND THE MANAGED CARE ALLOCATION, WE

16 BELIEVE WITH THE PROPER REVENUE AND PROGRAM SAFEGUARDS PUT IN

17 PLACE, THEY WOULD BE SUITABLE FOR REALIGNMENT.

18

19 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT --

20

21 **SUP. KNABE:** WHAT DID THAT LAST STATEMENT MEAN? SAFEGUARDS IN

22 PLACE THAT ARE SUITABLE FOR REALIGNMENT?

23

24 **MARVIN SOUTHARD:** WHAT IT MEANS IS THAT THE CONSTITUTIONAL

25 INITIATIVE WOULD HAVE TO GUARANTEE IN SOME FASHION THAT THERE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WOULD BE SUFFICIENT REVENUES ALLOCATED IN THE LONG RUN TO PAY
2 FOR THE PROGRAM.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S IN THE PROVISION?

5

6 **MARVIN SOUTHARD:** THAT WOULD BE WHAT WOULD NEED BE NEGOTIATED
7 FOR IT TO MAKE SENSE.

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** INCLUDING LIABILITY.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** WELL, BUT THAT'S THE PIECE. I MEAN, THAT'S THE
12 GUARANTEED, CONSTITUTIONALLY GUARANTEED REVENUE SOURCE THAT
13 WE'RE ALL TALKING ABOUT. IS THAT THE SAME PIECE? BILL, IS THAT
14 THE SAME GUARANTEED REVENUE SOURCE THAT ALL CONTINUE TO TALK
15 ABOUT? IS THAT THE FIREWALL THAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT?

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S THE ONE CONSISTENT FACTOR THAT WE HAVE
18 WITH EVERY SINGLE ONE.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** BUT NOT THE PROGRAM, BUT ALSO
21 INCLUDING LIABILITY COSTS.

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE UNDERSTAND. AND FOR EVERY SINGLE ONE WITH
24 RESPECT TO LIABILITY, WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE POTENTIAL OF
25 SETTING UP A LIABILITY TRUST FUND.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** FORGET THAT. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE
3 LAWYER IN THE COURTROOM.

4

5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND.

6

7 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE PUBLIC DEFENDERS WE HAVE TO HIRE,
8 THE COUNTY COUNSELS WE HAVE TO HIRE. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE
9 ACTIVITY. OR THE COUNTY COUNSEL IS GOING TO HAVE TO CONTRACT
10 OUT THOSE?

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS ON. BUT YOU KEEP
13 ISOLATING THIS THING AND YOU'RE NOT EVEN INTERESTED IN THE
14 PROGRAM. DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE PROGRAM IS? THE PROGRAM IS
15 PROVIDING MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR KIDS IN OUR SCHOOLS,
16 OKAY? AND RIGHT NOW WHAT WE'RE SAYING IS LET'S IGNORE IT.
17 LET'S KEEP IT WITH THE SCHOOL DISTRICT BECAUSE THEY DO SUCH A
18 GOOD JOB RIGHT NOW OF EDUCATING OUR KIDS. SO LET'S MAKE SURE
19 THEY STAY WITH A MENTAL HEALTH COMPONENT, AS WELL. I THINK WE
20 HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY IN THIS ONE. WHILE IT IS TOUGH, THERE IS
21 NO DOUBT THAT WE COULD CREATE A MODIFICATION. BUT WHEN WE KEEP
22 THROWING THIS AROUND ABOUT LIABILITY, MY GOD, WE HAVE
23 LIABILITY ON EVERYTHING, WHICH IS THE ADMINISTRATIVE COST THAT
24 WE GET ASSOCIATED WITH ALL OF THESE PROGRAMS WHEN WE GET THEM.
25 AND SO THAT IS THERE. I THINK THAT WE SHOULD LOOK AT A



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COOPERATIVE MANNER IN WHICH WE CAN APPROACH SOME OF THESE
2 PROGRAMS. AGAIN, YOU CAN GO UP TO THE GOVERNOR AND JUST SPIT
3 ON HIM AND TELL HIM THAT THERE'S INCAPABILITY. THERE IS NO
4 DOUBT. BUT IN THIS INSTANCE, THIS IS A TOUGH PROGRAM. THESE
5 ARE CHILDREN WHO HAVE ALL KINDS OF MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES. TO
6 LEAVE IT EXCLUSIVELY AT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, YOU COULD CREATE
7 A MANDATE THAT THE SCHOOLS AT THE END OF THE DAY MUST CONTRACT
8 WITH US, THE MENTAL HEALTH PROVIDERS, TO PROVIDE THOSE
9 SERVICES SO THAT THERE'S SOME MANNER IN WHICH WE DO IT BETTER
10 THAN THEY COULD POSSIBLY DO IT. BUT JUST SAYING, TURNING YOUR
11 BACK ON IT, NOT KNOWING WHAT IT IS. I DON'T THINK IT DOES IT A
12 SERVICE OVERALL TO RECOGNIZE AND UNDERSTAND. I JUST THINK --
13 YES, THERE'S LIABILITY. OF COURSE THERE'S LIABILITY ON JORGE
14 T., THERE WAS LIABILITY. AND THERE WILL CONTINUE TO BE
15 LIABILITY WHEN WE SCREW THINGS UP. AND YOU GET ADMINISTRATIVE
16 COSTS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED --

17

18 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IF IT'S A STATE MANDATE, THEY SHOULD
19 PAY FULL COST. THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING.

20

21 **SUP. MOLINA:** AGAIN. I THINK THEY PAY THE FULL COST. THE ISSUE
22 IS HOW DO YOU START CONDITIONING IT IN SOME FASHION? AND
23 THAT'S NOT THE HANDOFF THAT IS GOING ON HERE. WHAT'S GOING ON
24 IS, YOU KNOW, THE CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEE. WELL OF COURSE.
25 BUT IF WE DON'T GET INTO ANY DISCUSSION AS TO WHAT THAT IS AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WHAT WE CAN DO, WHY BOTHER? LET THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS KEEP IT,
2 RIGHT?

3

4 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IF IT'S A STATE MANDATE, WE CAN
5 ADMINISTER IT, BUT IF THEY'RE GOING TO GIVE US THE FUNDS TO
6 OPERATE, THEY SHOULD GIVE US TOTAL FUNDING TO OPERATE THE
7 ENTIRE PROGRAM.

8

9 **SUP. MOLINA:** UNTIL YOU KNOW WHAT THAT IS.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT'S RIGHT. BUT YOU FACTOR IN ALL
12 THOSE COSTS, IS WHAT WE'RE SAYING.

13

14 **SUP. MOLINA:** I MEAN THE POINT IS THAT YOU COULD NEGOTIATE
15 THOSE THINGS INSTEAD OF SAYING -- WHAT DID YOU CALL IT? THE
16 TSUNAMI AND OTHER KINDS OF THINGS THAT YOU'RE THROWING AT THIS
17 THING. I'M JUST SAYING WE SHOULD LOOK AT SOME OF THESE
18 PROGRAMS. YOU KNOW, I REALLY WISH THE SCHOOLS WERE BETTER AT
19 PROVIDING MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR THESE KIDS. BUT THE
20 REALITY IS THAT THEY ARE NOT. AND IN THIS INSTANCE, WE
21 PROBABLY HAVE A LOT OF DUPLICATION OF SERVICES GOING ON, WE
22 DON'T CASE MANAGE ANY OF THESE THINGS WELL. BUT UNFORTUNATELY
23 IN MENTAL HEALTH, WHETHER IT BE KATIE A., WHETHER IT BE THIS
24 PROGRAM OR ANY OF THE OTHER PROGRAMS, REALLY, WE SHOULD GET
25 INVOLVED. IN THIS INSTANCE, THERE'S EVEN THE POSSIBILITY THAT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WE CAN INTRODUCE AND TALK ABOUT THE FEDERAL MANDATES AND HOW
2 WE RELAX SOME OF THAT. OKAY? AND HOW WE GET THE GOVERNOR TO
3 TAKE A VERY AGGRESSIVE ROLE IN THE FEDERAL MANDATE. WHAT HE IS
4 TRYING TO DO IS PASS THE FEDERAL MANDATE ON TO US. NOW I DON'T
5 NECESSARILY AGREE WITH THAT. BUT WE COULD ALSO CREATE OTHER
6 OPPORTUNITIES. RIGHT NOW, THERE IS NO INCOME LIMIT. YOU COULD
7 MEET A MULTIMILLIONAIRE, AND THIS COUNTY MUST PROVIDE THOSE
8 SERVICES UNDER THAT LEGISLATION, CORRECT?

9

10 **SPEAKER:** CORRECT.

11

12 **SUP. MOLINA:** CORRECT.

13

14 **SPEAKER:** IT'S CRAZY.

15

16 **SUP. MOLINA:** IT'S CRAZY. THEN WHY NOT TALK ABOUT HOW WE COULD
17 AMEND IT IN SUCH A WAY THAT IF THIS COUNTY'S GOING TO TAKE IT,
18 IT MUST BE FOR THE MOST VULNERABLE CHILDREN. LET ME TELL YOU,
19 THE POOREST OF CHILDREN WHO ARE MENTALLY ILL, THEY DON'T GET
20 THIS SERVICE. LET ME TELL YOU WHY. BECAUSE THEY CAN'T AFFORD
21 THE LAWYER THAT GOES IN AND FIGHTS THE STATE TO ACCESS THESE
22 SERVICES FOR THOSE CHILDREN. YOU LOOK IN OUR DISTRICTS, AND
23 THE MOST VULNERABLE OF MENTALLY ILL CHILDREN WOULD HAVE NEVER
24 GOTTEN THESE SERVICES BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO BE VERY ASSERTIVE.
25 IT IS EXPENSIVE, AND I THINK THAT WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT THE



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 SERVICE. WHAT ARE WE GETTING? LEAVING IT WITH THE SCHOOLS AND
2 LEAVING IT AS IT IS IS REALLY INAPPROPRIATE BECAUSE AT THE END
3 OF THE DAY, YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT LITTLE CHILDREN WHO ARE
4 MENTALLY ILL. AND I DON'T CARE IF YOU GET -- YOU'RE A
5 MULTIMILLIONAIRE, YOU UNDER FEDERAL MANDATE, UNDER STATE
6 MANDATE, YOU ARE ENTITLED TO THIS PROGRAM. AND AGAIN I GUESS
7 YOU SHOULD BE IN SOME SENSE. BUT WHY NOT ADJUST IT IN SOME
8 FASHION SO THAT THERE ARE INCOME -- I'M NOT SAYING THE POOREST
9 OF THE POOR, BECAUSE EVEN A COUPLE WHO MAKES \$200,000 A YEAR
10 AND MAYBE HAS FOUR KIDS AND HAS ONE CHILD THAT NEEDS THIS
11 SERVICE CAN'T AFFORD THE 40 TO 80 TO \$60,000 A YEAR. BUT WHEN
12 WE JUST KEEP TALKING ABOUT IT IN THE ABSTRACT AND JUST CALLING
13 IT -- AND OF COURSE THERE'S GOING TO BE LIABILITY ISSUES WITH
14 ALL OF IT. BUT I JUST REALLY THINK WE HAVE NOT DUG IN DEEP
15 ENOUGH ON SOME OF THESE PROGRAMS. THERE'S NO DOUBT I WANT THE
16 GUARANTEES THAT THE MONEY'S GOING TO BE THERE. BUT I'D LIKE TO
17 ADJUST THE FEDERAL MANDATE. I'D LIKE TO ADJUST THE INCOME
18 ELIGIBILITY. I'D LIKE TO LOOK A LEGISLATOR IN THE FACE AND
19 SAY, "I'M WILLING TO TAKE THIS BUT THIS IS WHAT I NEED TO
20 YOU."

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S WHAT WE TESTIFIED TO THE OTHER DAY. THERE
23 MAY BE LEGISLATIVE RELAXATIONS THAT WE DO NEED TO BE ABLE TO
24 MANIPULATE THESE PROGRAMS AND OPERATE.

25



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 **SUP. MOLINA:** WHY LOOK AT IT WHEN WE CAN JUST --

2

3 **SUP. KNABE:** OUR LIABILITY DOWN THE ROAD COULD BE MUCH GREATER
4 THAN THE LIABILITY WE'RE TALKING ABOUT RIGHT NOW IF THAT THING
5 JUST GOES AWAY.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAVE SIMILAR RECOMMENDATIONS ON THIS
8 PARTICULAR ONE WHERE WE STATE THAT THE SCHOOLS SHOULD CONTRACT
9 WITH OUR DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH BECAUSE WE'RE BEST SUITED
10 TO PROVIDE THAT TYPE OF SERVICE. WHAT'S ESSENTIAL THOUGH, IS
11 THAT THE APPROPRIATE FUNDING IS AVAILABLE. WE ALSO AGREE THAT
12 IF THIS SHOULD COME OUR WAY, WE NEED TO SEEK FEDERAL
13 LEGISLATION THAT RESTRICTS ELIGIBILITY BY INCOME AND ALSO
14 ELIMINATE THE RESIDENTIAL -- THE CARE REQUIREMENT. ON A COUPLE
15 OTHER PROGRAMS, YOUR EARLY PERIODIC SCREENING DIAGNOSIS AND
16 TREATMENT PROGRAM, WE THINK THIS CAN BE REALIGNED. AND WE HAVE
17 SOME CONDITIONS THAT WE FEEL ARE NECESSARY. WE HAVE A REAL
18 CONCERN THAT THE USE OF ONE-TIME PROPOSITION 63 RESERVE FUNDS
19 IS NOT APPROPRIATE AND ACTUALLY MAY VIOLATE THIS
20 IMPLEMENTATION OF PROP 63. WE'RE CONCERNED THAT IF YOU TAKE
21 THAT MONEY RIGHT NOW, IT'S GOING TO IMPACT FUTURE ALLOCATIONS
22 OF THAT FUND FOR SERVICES WE HAVE IN PLACE THAT ARE SUPPORTED
23 BY PROP 63. WE NEED TO ELIMINATE THE STATE FROM THE CLAIMING
24 PROCESS AND TO ELIMINATE THE STATE MAXIMUM ALLOWANCE FOR
25 FEDERAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR THESE SERVICES INSTEAD OF USING THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 FEDERAL UPPER PAYMENT LIMIT. OUR MENTAL HEALTH MANAGED CARE,
2 THAT'S ANOTHER PROGRAM THAT WE FEEL COULD BE REALIGNED. IT HAS
3 SOME OF THE SAME ELEMENTS AS OUR E.P.S.D.T. PROGRAM. ON
4 SUBSTANCE ABUSE, WE HAVE JOHN HERE FROM PUBLIC HEALTH. AND
5 THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE'RE SAYING YES, IT CAN BE REALIGNED.
6 THERE ARE SOME ISSUES. AGAIN, THERE ARE SOME CONDITIONS THAT
7 WE PUT IN PLACE, BUT THESE FUNDS WOULD ALLOW US TO PROVIDE
8 SOME DEGREE OF LOCAL CONTROL. BUT WE ALSO NEED THE FLEXIBILITY
9 THAT'S BUILT INTO THAT LOCAL CONTROL. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
10 ISSUES THAT WE LISTED INCLUDING SETTING PROVIDER REIMBURSEMENT
11 RATES, THE ABILITY TO CERTIFY PROVIDERS, THE DESIGNING OF MENU
12 OR TREATMENT SERVICES THAT WILL PROVIDE, LENGTHENING THE PERI-
13 NATAL PROGRAM FOR 60 DAYS UP TO 365 DAYS, CONFIGURING THE DRUG
14 MEDI-CAL PROGRAM TO MEET OUR LOCAL NEEDS, AND ALLOWING THE
15 COUNTIES TO OPT OUT OF THE DRUG MEDI-CAL PROGRAM. AND SO
16 AGAIN, THIS IS ANOTHER PRIME EXAMPLE WHERE INSTEAD OF US JUST
17 SAYING NO, IF WE CAN SIT DOWN AT THE TABLE WITH THEM, WITH
18 THEM BEING THE STATE, AND GET THIS FLEXIBILITY AND THESE
19 ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES, WE CAN MAKE THESE PROGRAMS WORK. OH
20 THE FOSTER CARE AND CHILD WELFARE SERVICES. THAT'S JUST
21 SOMETHING ELSE WHERE THE MONEY CAN COME DIRECTLY TO US TO THE
22 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES AND WE COULD
23 REALIGN THIS WITH AGAIN SUFFICIENT SUPPORT, FUNDING. I KNOW
24 YOU HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT LIABILITY. UNDER THIS ONE, WE DO NEED
25 TO CONTINUE THE TITLE 4-E WAIVER. IT IS VERY, VERY IMPORTANT.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 WE ALSO ASK AS ONE OF THE CONDITIONS, THAT THEY DELAY THE
2 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STATE MANDATE A.B.12, WHICH EXTENDS
3 FOSTER CARE FOR ELIGIBLE YOUTH UP TO 21 YEARS OF AGE UNTIL WE
4 CAN FULLY ASSESS THE IMPACT OF THIS PROPOSAL. I THINK THAT'S
5 IT. I DON'T KNOW IF JOHN HAD ANYTHING. JOHN OR MARK, DO YOU
6 HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD? BUT THAT'S ESSENTIALLY WHERE WE ARE WITH
7 THESE PARTICULAR PROGRAMS. ANY QUESTIONS?

8

9 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** QUESTIONS? ON THE ASSUMPTIONS THAT THE
10 COUNTY COULD BOTH CONTINUE TO RENEW THE TITLE 4 WAIVER WITH A
11 GUARANTEE THAT ITS FUNDING IS NOT LESS THAN THE CURRENT
12 COMMITMENT FROM THE STATE. IF WE ASSUME THE WORST, THAT EITHER
13 THE STATE OPTS OUT OF WAIVER ON OR BEFORE ITS EXTENDED DUE
14 DATE OF 2013 OR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DOES NOT ACCEPT A
15 RENEWAL. WITHOUT THE WAIVER, THE CURRENT CASELOAD ESTIMATES
16 ARE AN INCREASE OF \$80 MILLION ANNUALLY TO THE NET COUNTY COST
17 OBLIGATION, WHICH IS \$40 MILLION TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGET
18 AND \$40 MILLION TO THE ASSISTANCE BUDGET. SO IF THAT HAPPENED,
19 WE WOULD HAVE TO CONTEMPLATE A FUTURE IN WHICH THE GOVERNMENT
20 COULD NO LONGER CONTINUE TO EFFECTIVELY MEET THE SOCIAL
21 SERVICE DELIVERIES REQUIRED WITHOUT RELYING UPON A HEALTHY
22 SHARING OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES THROUGH AN INTEGRATED
23 PUBLIC, COMMUNITY, FAITH AND PHILANTHROPIC RESOURCE, EXPERTISE
24 AND SERVICES, THROUGH PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS. SO
25 REALIGNMENT MEANS WE WOULD HAVE TO FACE THE REALITY OF



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REDUCING THE OVERHEAD. OUR COUNTY'S CURRENT CHILD WELFARE
2 SYSTEM COULD BE TOO LARGE OR TOO BUREAUCRATIC AND TOO
3 INCONSISTENT TO BE EFFECTIVE. SO WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING AT SOME
4 OF THE REALIGNMENTS, YOU'RE ALSO GOING TO HAVE TO LOOK AT
5 REALIGNMENT WITHIN OUR OWN DELIVERY OF THOSE SERVICES.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I AGREE. THAT'S WHY WE NEED TO FIGHT
8 VIGOROUSLY TO MAINTAIN THE TITLE 4-E WAIVER. THE CONSEQUENCES
9 WOULD BE CATASTROPHIC TO US.

10

11 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHEN DO WE UNDERSTAND THE ABILITY TO
12 CONTINUE THE WAIVER? WHEN DO WE GET A DECISION ON THAT?

13

14 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I COULDN'T GIVE YOU A DEFINITIVE DATE RIGHT
15 NOW. THE ONE CONCERN WE HAVE IS BECAUSE THERE ARE ONLY TWO
16 COUNTIES WHO ARE IN TITLE 4-E WAIVER COUNTIES, OURSELVES AND
17 ALAMEDA, WE'VE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH ALAMEDA BECAUSE THERE'S
18 ONLY TWO VOICES FIGHTING FOR THE CONTINUATION OF THAT PROGRAM.

19

20 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO THE WAIVER ENDS AT 2013. IS THAT
21 JANUARY 2013 OR NOVEMBER 2013?

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT SIR. I CAN
24 FIND OUT FOR YOU.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? THANK YOU. OH, WE
2 HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT. DIANE BOUDREAUX, AMY KIM, FLOYD HAYHURST,
3 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. GOOD AFTERNOON. YOU CAN GO FIRST.

4

5 **AMY KIM:** HELLO. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. MY NAME IS
6 AMY KIM AND I WANT TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT A PROGRAM THAT YOU MAY
7 NOT BE AWARE OF THAT EXISTS. I'M A CLINICAL SOCIAL WORKER. I
8 WORK FOR THE PAROLE OUTPATIENT CLINICS HERE IN L.A. COUNTY.
9 ACTUALLY WE'RE LOCATED IN ALL 58 COUNTIES. WE ARE SOCIAL
10 WORKERS, PSYCHOLOGISTS AND PSYCHIATRISTS AND WE TREAT THE
11 MENTALLY ILL, THE SEX OFFENDERS AND THE VIOLENT OFFENDERS WHO
12 ARE ON PAROLE. OUR CLINIC HAS BEEN AROUND FOR AT LEAST 50
13 YEARS. AND WE HAVE IN L.A. COUNTY ALONE, WE HAVE 21 MENTAL
14 HEALTH CLINICS. U.C.L.A. HAS DONE A STUDY ON OUR PROGRAM,
15 BETWEEN 2003 AND 2008, I BELIEVE. AND THEIR STUDY CONCLUDED
16 THAT WITH AT LEAST NINE P.O.C. CONTACTS, PAROLE OUTPATIENT
17 CLINIC CONTACTS WITH CLINICIANS, THAT OUR RECIDIVISM RATE IS
18 17 PERCENT. AND WHEN THERE IS NO CONTACT WITH US, THE
19 RECIDIVISM RATE IS 70 PERCENT. SO WE HAVE BEEN PROVEN TO BE
20 COST-EFFECTIVE AND WE ARE ALSO REDUCING RECIDIVISM. I THINK
21 WHAT MAKES US WORK IS BECAUSE WE HAVE A UNIQUE TREATMENT
22 MODEL. WE'RE LOCATED AT ALL THE PAROLE OFFICES AND AND WE WORK
23 VERY CLOSELY WITH THE AGENTS. SOME OF OUR PEOPLE ARE MANDATED
24 INTO TREATMENT TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC SUCH AS THE SEX OFFENDERS
25 AND THE VIOLENT OFFENDERS. IN L.A. COUNTY, WE PROBABLY TREAT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ABOUT 7,500 PATIENTS AT ANY GIVEN TIME. AND OF THESE, ABOUT 70
2 PERCENT HAVE A MENTAL ILLNESS. AND I GUESS WITH REALIGNMENT,
3 THEY WOULD BE SEEN BY COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH. BUT THE 30
4 PERCENT, THE OTHER 30 PERCENT ARE OF GRAVE CONCERN TO US
5 BECAUSE WE KNOW THEY WILL NOT BE TREATED AT THE COUNTY MENTAL
6 HEALTH CLINICS BECAUSE THEY'RE BEING SEEN BY US BECAUSE OF
7 THEIR VIOLENCE, THE NATURE OF THEIR OFFENSES AND THEIR SEX
8 OFFENSES. SO WE HAVE A BIG CONCERN REGARDING PUBLIC SAFETY
9 WITH THIS POPULATION THAT WE TREAT. OUR CONTENTION IS THAT WE
10 DON'T BELIEVE THAT WITH THE PAROLE REALIGNMENT, THAT THE
11 PEOPLE WE TREAT SHOULD BE REALIGNED DOWN TO THE COUNTY LEVELS.
12 WE THINK THAT WE'RE ALREADY OUT IN THE COUNTIES. WE WORK WITH
13 THE COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES. WE'VE BEEN DOING IT A LONG TIME.
14 WE HAVE THE SKILL LEVEL TO DO IT. AND WE HAVE BEEN PROVEN TO
15 BE EFFECTIVE. WE DON'T THINK THAT THE COUNTY SHOULD REINVENT
16 THE WHEEL, BECAUSE WE ARE ALREADY DOING THIS WORK. AND WE'RE
17 WILLING TO WORK WITH THE GOVERNOR, LAW ENFORCEMENT, THE
18 COUNTIES TO KEEP PUBLIC SAFETY AND TO NOT DISMANTLE OUR
19 PROGRAMS. THANK YOU.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** I HAVE A QUESTION. I MEAN, MARV, HAVE YOU BEEN IN
24 CONVERSATION WITH THESE FOLKS? OUR DIRECTOR? I MEAN, THIS IS
25 THE KIND OF THING THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHERE THERE MIGHT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BE SOME THINGS WE CAN WORK WITH AND OTHER THINGS THAT WE DON'T
2 WANT TO DUPLICATE, WE DON'T WANT TO RECREATE THE WHEEL. ARE
3 YOU AWARE OF THIS ISSUE THAT SHE'S MENTIONING?

4

5 **AMY KIM:** I HAVE SOME FLYERS FOR YOU IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** IF YOU COULD HAND IT TO THE SHERIFF, THEN HE'LL
8 PASS IT OUT. BUT I THINK MORE IMPORTANTLY WHETHER OR NOT THIS
9 HAS BEEN -- AND, BILL, SAME WITH C.E.O.'S OFFICE. I MEAN,
10 THERE ARE SO MANY PIECES OF THIS PUZZLE. THIS IS A PERFECT
11 EXAMPLE OF THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT MAY NOT BE FIT AT ALL FOR
12 COUNTY OPERATIONS.

13

14 **MARVIN SOUTHARD:** SUPERVISOR, IN ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION, YES,
15 I WAS AWARE OF THIS AS A PROBLEM. AND IT SEEMS TO ME IN MY
16 ANALYSIS THAT IT DOES PRESENT A POPULATION THAT IS NOT WELL-
17 SUITED FOR TRANSFER TO COUNTY RESPONSIBILITY OR AT LEAST THE
18 BULK OF THE POPULATION ARE PROBABLY PEOPLE WHO WOULD NOT BE
19 CHARACTERIZED AS LOW-LEVEL FELONS. AND THEREFORE THE
20 RESPONSIBILITY AND THE TREATMENT NEEDS ARE PROBABLY MORE
21 INTENSE THAN THE OTHER POPULATION THAT WE DISCUSSED EARLIER.
22 SO I HAVE RAISED THIS AT THE STATE LEVEL, WITH THE CALIFORNIA
23 MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTORS AND ALSO LOCALLY AS MY BIGGEST CONCERN
24 ABOUT THE PUBLIC SAFETY REALIGNMENT IS THIS PARTICULAR SEGMENT
25 OF IT.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** HAS THIS BEEN ARTICULATED TO THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE?

3

4 **MARVIN SOUTHARD:** YES, IT HAS.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** OUR NEGOTIATIONS ON THE PLATFORM THAT WE'RE
7 WORKING WITH THE STATE ON? AND THE GOVERNOR?

8

9 **MARVIN SOUTHARD:** YES, IT IS.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THAT TO OUR ATTENTION.

12

13 **AMY KIM:** YOU'RE WELCOME.

14

15 **DEBRA WEBB:** HI, MY NAME IS DEBRA WEBB AND I'M A PSYCHOLOGIST
16 AT THE PAROLE OUTPATIENT CLINIC. TO REITERATE WHAT MISS KIM
17 WAS SAYING, WE DO DEAL WITH A POPULATION THAT TENDS TO BE
18 IRRITABLE, ANGRY, VIOLENT AND ARE DIFFICULT TO EVEN HANDLE IN
19 OUR PAROLE OFFICE. I WORK AT THE PAROLE CLINIC ON ALAMEDA. ONE
20 INCIDENT THAT WE HAD IN OUR LOBBY RECENTLY -- AND WE ARE
21 PARTNERED RIGHT WITH PAROLE. SO WE'RE IN THE BUILDING WITH
22 PAROLE, WE HAVE AGENTS, ARMED AGENTS WALKING THROUGH THE LOBBY
23 CONSTANTLY. WE HAD A SEXUAL ASSAULT OCCUR IN OUR LOBBY. OUR
24 SITUATION IS SO TENUOUS, REALLY, IN THE BUILDING THAT WE
25 REALLY RECOMMEND THAT FAMILY MEMBERS AND PARTICULARLY CHILDREN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ARE NOT PRESENT IN OUR BUILDING. IT WOULD BE VERY
2 DISCONCERTING TO ME AT COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH TO HAVE MANY OF
3 OUR CLIENTS COME INTO THE BUILDING. IT WOULD REALLY BE A
4 THREAT TO SAFETY. ANOTHER ISSUE THAT WE ADDRESS ARE THE SEX
5 OFFENDERS. AND WE'VE TALKED BRIEFLY IN HERE I THINK ABOUT
6 CONTRACTING OUT. BUT WE MANAGED TO DO THIS IN A MUCH MORE
7 COST-EFFECTIVE WAY. IF WE CONTRACT OUT WITH A SEX OFFENDER
8 RIGHT NOW, IT COSTS \$15,000 A YEAR FOR TREATMENT. THE PAROLE
9 OUTPATIENT CLINIC TREATS THEM FOR BETWEEN 16 OR 1,800 AND
10 2,600. THIS IS PARTICULARLY, AS YOU POINTED OUT, AN ISSUE WITH
11 NEW LAWS THAT COME UP, LIKE CHELSEA'S LAW. WE CAN PROVIDE THAT
12 LEVEL OF TREATMENT AT THAT COST EVEN WITH ALL THE PROVISIONS
13 OF THIS BRAND NEW LAW. SO WE HAVE ISSUES BOTH OF SAFETY AND
14 COST-EFFECTIVENESS. IN ADDITION TO ALL OF THESE, WE ALSO AGREE
15 WITH WHAT'S BEEN SAID ABOUT DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE.
16 WE'RE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE SMALL NUMBER OF CHILDREN THAT
17 CONTINUE TO STAY IN DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUICE THAT ARE FAR
18 TOO DANGEROUS AND PREY FAR TOO MUCH ON OUR COMMUNITY TO BE
19 TREATED WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY. THANK YOU.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. LET ME ALSO CALL UP FLOYD
22 HAYHURST AND DIANE. YES, MA'AM.

23

24 **DIANE BOUDREAUX:** MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS, MY NAME IS DIANE
25 BOUDREAUX.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1
2 **DIANE BOUDREAUX:** MR. MAYOR, COUNTY MEMBERS, MY NAME IS DIANE
3 BOUDREAUX. I'M PAROLE AGENT 1 IN INGLEWOOD, I'M A JOB STEWARD
4 WITH BARGAINING UNIT 6. PAROLE OUTPATIENT CLINIC IS THE MENTAL
5 HEALTH COMPONENT THAT WE USE PAROLE AGENTS USE. YOU WILL NOT
6 BE ABLE TO COPY IT. OUR PAROLEES DEFINITELY ARE HIGH OCTANE.
7 AND WE HAVE OBSERVED WHEN IT COMES TO SERVICES, ESPECIALLY
8 THOSE THAT ARE CONTRACTED OUT, CONTRACTING OUT ENGAGES IN
9 CHERRY PICKING. AND WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL COMES THROUGH THAT'S A
10 LITTLE BIT ABOVE THEIR LEVEL, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO GET
11 SERVICE. THEY ALWAYS GO BACK TO THE STATE. THE STATE IS THE
12 SERVICE PROVIDER OF LAST RESORT. ALWAYS IS. IN TERMS OF THE
13 REALIGNMENT, SUMMARILY REJECT IT. PAROLE IN THE COMMUNITY IS
14 IN THE COMMUNITY AS IT STANDS. THE G.P.S. COMPONENT? REVIEW
15 THOSE COSTS. REVIEW THE TECHNOLOGY. YOU ARE A HUGE COUNTY. IT
16 WON'T WORK FOR OTHER COUNTIES WHO CAN'T EVEN GET SATELLITE
17 SERVICE. SO STATEWIDE IT HAS TO BE REVIEWED A LITTLE BIT
18 CLOSER. IN TERMS OF PAROLE IN THE COMMUNITY, I CAN TELL YOU
19 RIGHT NOW, MYSELF AS AN AGENT AND OTHER AGENTS, WE ARE HOOKED
20 INTO L.A.P.D. AND L.A.S.O. IF THERE'S A SITUATION, WE
21 UNDERSTAND IMMEDIATELY WHAT THOSE OFFICERS THAT PATROL THOSE
22 AREAS, COMMUNICATION, WHAT DO YOU WANT TO DO? JUST THIS LAST
23 WEEK, ONE OF MY GUYS SITTING IN FRONT OF HIS HOUSE HAD BEEN AT
24 THE HOME, WHICH WHAT WE DO IS WE GO IN THE HOME. WE'RE NOT
25 JUST DRIVING BY. WE STOP. WE GET OUT. WE GET IN THE HOME. YOU



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BECOME VULNERABLE WHEN YOU GO INTO A PAROLEE'S HOME AND YOU'RE
2 LAW ENFORCEMENT. BUT THAT'S WHAT WE DO. THAT'S WHAT THEY PAY
3 US. SO I'M IN THE HOME. THIS GENTLEMAN, JUST RELEASED MAYBE
4 WITHIN THE LAST THREE MONTHS. ALREADY WITH MY LAST VISIT JUST
5 THIS PAST WEEK, THE HOME LOOKS GOOD. HE'S CLEANING UP. HE'S A
6 HIGH RISK OFFENDER. HE WAS SUPPOSED TO COME INTO THE OFFICE ON
7 FRIDAY. HE SAYS, "MISS BOUDREAUX, I'M GETTING A BIN SO I CAN
8 CLEAN THE BACKYARD UP AND REMOVE ALL THE DEBRIS FROM THE
9 BACKYARD. SO I CAN'T COME IN ON FRIDAY." FRIDAY I GET A CALL
10 FROM HIM BECAUSE WE ARE CONNECTED. "MISS BOUDREAUX, THE
11 POLICE, I WAS JUST STANDING OUT THERE AND THEY STOPPED AND,
12 'YOU'RE ON PAROLE OR PROBATION?' YEAH." WE'RE GOING TO ASK
13 THAT. ARE YOU ON PAROLE OR PROBATION. YOU ARE NOT ALL YOUR
14 RIGHTS RESTORED TO YOU. MY ADVICE TO THEM IS WHEN LAW
15 ENFORCEMENT STOPS YOU, AND THEY WILL, BE PREPARED BECAUSE
16 THEY'RE GOING TO STOP YOU. IT'S YES, SIR, NO, SIR AND CALL MY
17 AGENT. "MISS BOUDREAUX, THEY STOPPED ME AND MY BROTHER AND
18 THEY WERE ASKING WHAT WE'RE DOING. I WAS TELLING THEM. AND I
19 SAID WAIT, LET ME GO GET YOU MY AGENT'S PHONE NUMBER. LET ME
20 GO GET YOU MY AGENT'S PHONE NUMBER." HE WENT INTO THE HOUSE,
21 THE POLICE WERE GONE. BECAUSE THEY KNOW THIS GUY IS REPORTING
22 WITHOUT ASKING. THEY ALREADY KNOW SUPERVISION IS BEING
23 CONDUCTED BY YOUR COMMUNITY PAROLE AGENTS. AND IT'S NOT JUST
24 ME. IT'S THOSE AGENTS THAT ARE UP AND DOWN THE STATE. THANK
25 YOU SO MUCH.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. FLOYD HAYHURST?
3 ANTONIA RAMIREZ. ABU TINO.

4

5 **GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** ACTUALLY I REALLY WANTED TO TALK TO ITEM
6 53. BUT I THOUGHT YOU HAD CALLED S-1.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THIS ONE'S ON REALIGNMENT.

9

10 **DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL:** YEAH. I'LL PASS.

11

12 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. ALSO NAGI ELHADARY. OKAY. YES,
13 MA'AM.

14

15 **ANTONIA RAMIREZ:** GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. I AM
16 ADAMANTLY OPPOSED TO S-1 BECAUSE THERE ARE SERIOUS AND
17 EGREGIOUS FLAWS THAT ARE IMPACTED BY THE JOINT HEALTH AND
18 HUMAN SERVICES AS WELL AS THE CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.
19 YOU, THE COUNTY, HAVE AIDED AND ABETTED ILLEGAL CRIMINAL
20 ALIENS AND THEIR BYPRODUCTS AND DENIED ANY ASSISTANCE TO
21 HOMELESS VETERANS AND BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE ALONG WITH
22 THEIR FAMILIES. PUBLIC HEALTH HAS BEEN SEVERELY COMPROMISED
23 WHEN YOU HAVE HIRED ILLEGAL CRIMINAL ALIENS THROUGHOUT THE
24 COUNTY, ESPECIALLY THE COURTHOUSES. AND WHILE HOMELESS
25 MILITARY VETERANS AND THEIR FAMILIES COULD NOT PROCURE A



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COUNTY JOB AND THUS HAVE GAINFUL EMPLOYMENT, IT IS
2 UNCONSCIONABLE HOW THE COUNTY HAS BEEN CONDUCTING ITS HIRING
3 PRACTICES AND NOT LEGALLY OR VALIDLY CONDUCTED ITS COUNTY
4 AFFAIRS. THAT IS WHY NOW PAYBACK, YOU'RE GETTING WHAT YOU GUYS
5 DESERVE. SO NOW YOU'RE PAYING FOR THE LACK OF FUNDS. SO FIRST
6 FIRE ALL ILLEGALS. DEPORT ALL ILLEGALS AND GANG BANGERS WITH
7 THEIR FAMILIES. AND ONCE YOU'VE WEEDED THEM OUT NOW YOU CAN
8 ELIMINATE THE WASTE OF FUNDS. SO NOW YOU CAN START PROVIDING
9 SERVICES TO THESE VALID CITIZENS. AND YOU MAY NOW APPLY FOR
10 THE MUCH NEEDED FUNDS FOR EACH SPECIFIC DEPARTMENT WITHOUT
11 WASTE. HENCE, YOU WILL NOW HAVE A SURPLUS OF FUNDS. AND I
12 THINK YOU MUST DO EVERYTHING ACCORDING TO THE LAW. YOU MUST
13 FOLLOW THE LETTER OF THE LAW. AND MAYBE THAT'S WHY MANY OF US
14 END UP HOMELESS BECAUSE WE STAND AS MUCKRAKERS FOR WHAT IS
15 JUST, DECENT AND PURE. THANK YOU. AND AS A LAW-ABIDING
16 CITIZEN, I DIDN'T SWIM THE RIO GRANDE, I CAME HERE LEGALLY
17 WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BEFORE I LEFT
18 MEXICO TO NEW YORK CITY AIRBORNE. SO THANK YOU VERY, VERY
19 MUCH.

20

21 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOU GOING TO
22 SPEAK?

23

24 **NAGI ELHADARY:** YES. MY NAME IS NAGI ELHADARY. THAT'S N-A-G-I,
25 LAST NAME E-L-H-A-D-A-R-Y. MY PHONE NUMBER IS 323-608-8227. MY



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 EMAIL IS TTORING1@YAHOO.COM AND TG@VIPALIST.COM. THAT'S T.G.
2 FOR MY SON, TORENTINO GARRETT. I'M HERE TO DEMAND MY SON'S
3 RELEASE FROM YOU, MR. MIKE ANTONOVICH, YOU MR. MARK RIDLEY-
4 THOMAS, YOU, MISS GLORIA MOLINA AND ZEV YAROSLAVSKY. AND
5 ANDREA ORDIN WHO I SPOKE WITH IN JUNE 2010 ON THE PHONE AND
6 SHE STATED SHE WOULD HELP ME GET MY SON BACK. SHE PUT ME IN
7 CONTACT WITH AN INVESTIGATOR BY THE NAME OF, LAST NAME OF
8 KAPUR. I CONTACTED ANDREA ORDIN THROUGH HER PHONE NUMBER, 213-
9 974-1811. SHE TOLD ME SHE WOULD WORK ON GETTING MY CHILD BACK
10 TO ME TO TALK TO THE DEPUTY KAPUR AND SHE WOULD GET MY SON
11 BACK TO ME. SHE WOULD NO LONGER TAKE MY PHONE CALLS AFTER
12 THIS. I WAS IN A MEETING AT D.C.F.S. HEADQUARTERS ON JULY 1
13 DEMANDING MY SON'S RETURN. I HAD A MEETING SET UP WITH TRISH
14 PLOEHN AND DEBBIE GILLOF FROM D.C.F.S. ANDREA ORDIN CALLS
15 D.C.F.S. AT 425 SHATTO PLACE AND TALKS TO BRETT NELLER AND
16 TELLS BRETT NELLER THAT I'M NOT ALLOWED TO GO INTO D.C.F.S.
17 HEADQUARTERS TO TRY TO GET MY SON BACK. WHAT ANDREA ORDIN HAS
18 DONE IS ILLEGAL BY SENDING HER COUNTY COUNSEL TO TALK TO MY
19 PUBLIC DEFENDER IN REGARDS TO THE INTERFERING WITH A PEACE
20 INVESTIGATION CHARGE.

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SIR, THIS IS ON REALIGNMENT WE'RE
23 TALKING ABOUT.

24

25 **NAGI ELHADARY:** IS THIS PUBLIC COMMENT?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO.

3

4 **NAGI ELHADARY:** OH, ARE WE'RE TALKING ON S-1?

5

6 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YES.

7

8 **NAGI ELHADARY:** OKAY. IN REGARDS TO S-1, YOU KNOW, WORKING WITH
9 THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ANTONIA
10 JIMINEZ, WHO HAS JUST BEEN PUT INTO THAT POSITION BECAUSE
11 TRISH PLOEHN WAS REMOVED DUE TO THE CORRUPTION OF WORKING WITH
12 ANDREA ORDIN TO KEEP CHILDREN OF COLOR, BLACK, AFRICAN,
13 AFRICAN-AMERICAN DESCENT AND ISLAMIC DESCENT IN CUSTODY
14 BECAUSE BECAUSE ANDREA ORDIN HERSELF DOES NOT LIKE NOT PEOPLE
15 OF OUR RACE OR OUR PARENTS' COUNTRY OF ORIGIN.

16

17 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT IS NOT TRUE, THAT IS YOUR POINT
18 OF VIEW.

19

20 **NAGI ELHADARY:** I DEMAND THAT MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS DO HIS JOB AS
21 OUR REPRESENTATIVE UP THERE REPRESENTING OUR PEOPLE FROM MY
22 DISTRICT AND MY COMMUNITY, FAME CHURCH, TO HELP ME GET MY SON
23 BACK, MR. MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT IS NOT REALIGNMENT, THIS IS
2 REALIGNMENT.

3

4 **NAGI ELHADARY:** YOUR DISTRICT, NOT ANTONOVICH'S DISTRICT. THE
5 RACIST THAT HE IS. I DEMAND MY SON BACK, I WANT YOU TO HELP ME
6 GET MY SON BACK.

7

8 **SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. YOUR TIME IS UP. ITEM NO.
9 6, SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS?

10

11 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. WE'VE HAD A
12 SIGNIFICANT DISCUSSION ABOUT THE IMPACTS OF REALIGNMENT, ALL
13 OF WHICH HAPPENS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ISSUE OF BUDGET CUTS.
14 WE'RE INTENSELY CONCERNED ABOUT PUBLIC SAFETY. ABOUT THE
15 CRITICAL ISSUES OF HEALTHCARE AND SOCIAL SERVICE PROGRAMS.
16 WE'VE HEARD FROM MENTAL HEALTH. WE'VE HEARD FROM THE
17 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. WE'VE HEARD FROM A WHOLE RANGE
18 OF DEPARTMENTS TODAY. BUT I THINK CONSISTENT WITH THE CONCERNS
19 OF THE BOARD AS A WHOLE, WE WANT ALL THE ISSUES ON THE TABLE.
20 AND WE SHOULD ENCOURAGE THE GOVERNOR AND THE LEGISLATURE TO DO
21 PRECISELY THAT. NAMELY, PUT ALL OF THE ISSUES ON THE TABLE.
22 ONE THAT IS CONSPICUOUSLY ABSENT IS THAT OF TAX EXPENDITURES.
23 THE MOTION BEFORE YOU IS CONSISTENT WITH THE SUGGESTIONS OF
24 OUR COLLEAGUES, NAMELY TO NARROW THE SCOPE OF IT TO THOSE
25 WHICH ARE NOT PERSONAL BECAUSE OF THE PERSONAL TAX EXEMPTIONS,